

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
LEXINGTON, KENTUCKY 40506

UNIVERSITY SENATE COUNCIL
10 ADMINISTRATION BUILDING

November 28, 1979

TO: Members, University Senate

The University Senate will meet in regular session on Monday, December 10, 1979 at 3:00 p.m. in Room 206 Classroom Building.

AGENDA:

- 1) Approval of the Minutes of October 8, 1979.
- 2) Memorial Resolutions
- 3) Chairman's Report:
 - a) Resource Allocations Sub-committee
 - b) General Studies Committee
 - c) Athletic Events
 - d) Privilege and Tenure Recommendation
 - e) Senate Council Membership
 - f) Senate Christmas Party
- 4) Action Items:
 - a) Proposed addition to University Senate Rules, Admissions Standards for the Honors Program. (Circulated under date of November 28, 1979).
 - b) Proposed change in University Senate Rules, Section VI, 4.6, last paragraph, dealing with communications regarding suspension of students (circulated under date of November 28, 1979).
 - c) Proposed addition to the University Senate Rules for a Double Major across College lines (circulated under date of November 28, 1979).

Elbert W. Ockerman
Secretary

/cet

MINUTES OF THE UNIVERSITY SENATE, DECEMBER 10, 1979

The University Senate met in regular session at 3:00 p.m., Monday, December 10, 1979, in Room 106 of the Classroom Building.

Joseph Krislov, Chairman, presiding

Members absent: Michael Adelstein*, M. I. H. Aleem*, C. Dwight Auvenshine*, Charles E. Barnhart, James C. Beidleman, Janis L. Bellack*, John J. Bernardo*, Brack A. Bivins, Jack C. Blanton, James A. Boling*, Peter P. Bosomworth*, Robert N. Bostrom, Barbara Bryant, Joseph T. Burch, Michael D. Carpenter*, W. Merle Carter*, Donald B. Clapp, Bob Clark, Charlotte Clark, D. Kay Clawson*, Lewis W. Cochran*, James S. Cole, Samuel F. Conti*, Margaret Cornell, Clifford J. Cremers*, Lynne Crutcher, Paul Davis, Guy M. Davenport*, George W. Denemark*, David E. Denton*, Ronald C. Dillehay*, Marcus L. Dillon*, Richard C. Domek, Joseph M. Dougherty, Herbert Drennon, Roland Duell*, Anthony Eardley, W. W. Ecton*, Roger Eichhorn*, Lee A. Elioseff, Jane Emanuel, Jana Floyd, Tom Francis, John H. Garvey*, Hans Gesund*, Jon P. Gockerman*, Steve Goldstein, Mitch Griffin, Andrew J. Grimes*, George W. Gunther*, Robert D. Guthrie, Joseph Hamburg, S. Zafar Hasan*, Virgil W. Hays*, Raymond R. Hornback, Alfred S. L. Hu, Clyde L. Irwin, Donald W. Ivey*, Freddie James*, Dean Jaros*, Wesley H. Jones*, John J. Just, William B. Lacy*, James R. Lang, Donald C. Leigh, Thomas P. Lewis*, Thomas T. Lillich*, Carolyn G. Litchfield*, William J. Marshall, Marion E. McKenna*, Phillip W. Miller, Philip J. Noffsinger, Elbert W. Ockerman*, Clayton Omvig*, Merrill W. Packer, Leonard V. Packett*, Anne Policastri*, Deborah E. Powell, David Prior*, E. Douglas Rees, Paul Roark, Wimberly C. Royster*, Robert W. Rudd*, Pritam S. Sabharwal*, Kathryn Sallee*, John S. Scarborough, D. Milton Shuffett, Otis A. Singletary*, Julie Skaggs, John T. Smith, Tim Smith, Wade C. Smith*, David A. Spaeth*, Lynn Spruill, Edward F. Stanton*, Marjorie S. Stewart*, Harold H. Traurig*, M. Stanley Wall, Marc J. Wallace, James H. Wells, Paul A. Willis*, Constance P. Wilson, Ralph F. Wiseman*, Patch G. Woolfolk*

The minutes of the meeting of October 8, 1979, were approved as circulated.

Chairman Krislov recognized Professor Willis A. Sutton who presented the following Memorial Resolution on the death of Dr. John A. O'Donnell.

MEMORIAL RESOLUTION

John A. O'Donnell 1916-1979

On October 17, 1979, John A. O'Donnell, an internationally acclaimed expert on drug abuse and a Professor of Sociology at the University of Kentucky, died in his sleep. He had spent the day working in his office and was resting in order to enjoy the final game of the world series. Born on October 29, 1916 in Jersey City, New Jersey, he received his A.B. from St. Peter's College in New Jersey, in 1938, his M.A. from Fordham in 1940, and his Ph.D. from the University of Kentucky in 1960. After earning his Master's degree, Professor O'Donnell was a caseworker for the Catholic Home Bureau in New York City for two years.

With the outbreak of World War II, O'Donnell entered the Army as a private and rose to the rank of captain. He served overseas for 18 months in India, Burma and China in Signal Intelligence.

*Absence Explained

In the four years after his discharge from the Army, Professor O'Donnell was a caseworker and chief social worker at the VA hospital in Sheridan, Wyoming, and a research social worker in the NIMH Health Center in Phoenix. Later, as Chief of the Social Work Service at the U.S. Public Health Service Hospital in Lexington, Kentucky, he was an excellent administrator and developed effective relationships with many patients. Also, during this period he found time to continue graduate work and earn his doctorate in Sociology. He was the first Chief of the Social Science Section at the Addiction Research Center in Lexington. Serving in that role from 1961 to 1968, he recruited an excellent staff and developed a well-recognized unit. From 1968 to 1969, Dr. O'Donnell was Chief of Research Section at the NIMH Clinical Research Center in Lexington, and from 1970 until his death, he was Professor of Sociology at the University of Kentucky.

In high school and college, Jack received a number of awards and honors; for example, he won a Greek poetry reading and translating contest. In 1962, he was awarded the Public Health commendation medal. In 1979, he received the Distinguished Alumni Award, which is offered annually by the Sociology faculty of the University of Kentucky for meritorious achievement. During his distinguished career, Dr. O'Donnell received several major research grants from the National Institute on Mental Health, the Special Action Office for Drug Abuse Prevention, and the National Institute on Mental Health, the Special Action Office for Drug Abuse Prevention, and the National Institute on Drug Abuse.

Throughout his many years of government and university service, Professor O'Donnell was a highly trusted and valued consultant to the National Institute on Mental Health, the National Institute on Drug Abuse; the Alcohol, Drug Abuse, and Mental Health Administration; the White House Office on Drug Abuse Policy; and many other research organizations. He was invited to present papers at World Health Organization conferences held in London and Geneva and to help organize and present papers for the international workshops on drug abuse held by the Dahlem Konferenzen in Berlin. In addition, he was a member of the Board of Directors of the Committee on Problems of Drug Dependence and served on the editorial boards of Drug and Alcoholism Review and Evaluation and the Health Profession.

In addition to publishing a number of articles, John O'Donnell co-edited two major books: Narcotic Addiction, with John Ball in 1966 and the Handbook on Drug Abuse, with Robert DuPont and Avram Goldstein in 1979. He was the author of a monograph entitled Narcotic Addicts in Kentucky, published in 1969, and the senior author of Young Men and Drugs: A Nationwide Survey, which appeared in 1976. These monographs are considered classics in the field of drug abuse.

Jack was devoted to his family. He and his wife, Estelle, shared many happy years together. Seldom did Jack ever mention an award or an achievement, but he was willing to relate, with justifiable fatherly pride, the accomplishments of his son and daughter, John and Kitty, each now also university professors. Professor O'Donnell had a brilliant mind, but the characteristic that perhaps most distinguished him was his integrity; he never wavered in his quest for excellence. A man with firm convictions, he nevertheless could recognize the views of others and differ with them without allowing such differences to undermine mutual respect. He spent a great deal of time reviewing research proposals and early drafts of manuscripts, and his critical insight and honest advice helped many researchers in the field of drug abuse. Yet, he was never too busy for students. Though widely acclaimed as a researcher, he devoted many, many hours to preparation for his classes--for him to enter a classroom less than fully prepared was unthinkable. Integrity, honesty, intelligence, commitment to sound scholarship, devotion to his family, and humility characterized this man of many talents.

He was also a private man. Until his death, his colleagues were unaware that his father had died when he was 14 and that Jack helped to support his mother and four younger siblings by taking a job as a delivery boy. As one of his sisters observed, Jack had to be serious at an early age. While he worked hard all of his life, John O'Donnell was cheerful, witty and possessed of a contagious zest for both good living and scholarly work of the highest caliber. He will be sorely missed by his university colleagues and students, and by many professional coworkers.

The Department of Sociology, College of Arts and Sciences and Agriculture recommends that this resolution be spread upon the minutes of the University Senate and that copies be sent to the members of Professor O'Donnell's family.

(Prepared by Willis A. Sutton, Jr., Chairman, Department of Sociology)

Chairman Krislov directed that the Resolution be made a part of these minutes and that copies be provided to members of the immediate family. The Senators were asked to stand for a moment of silence in tribute and respect to Dr. John A. O'Donnell.

Chairman Krislov made the following remarks:

"I have a number of remarks. We did not have a meeting in November and that accounts for many of them. The first item is that I am happy to announce the Senate Council is able to comply with the mandate of the Senate to establish a Resource Allocation Subcommittee. The committee will be chaired by Professor Patrick of the Accounting Department. In addition to his accounting experience, Professor Patrick has been involved for about a decade as a consultant to hospital containment cost committees. We feel that experience would be particularly relevant to the Resource Allocation Subcommittee. The other members of the committee who were named by the Academic Organization and Structure Committee are Donald Shannon,

Business Administration; Donald Leigh, Engineering; Paul Forand, Slavic and Oriental Languages; Samuel Scott, Medicine; and Clinton Collins, Education. The Subcommittee, to remind you, 'is expected to formulate a series of budetary questions of concern to the faculty and present them to the administration.' Hopefully, the committee will be in a position to do that sometime next year. You should be receiving some information from that committee.

The second item is the General Studies Committee. For some time the General Studies Committee, which handles course requests and course changes, has indicated that it would be desirable to have a look at the entire program. The Undergraduate Council agreed with the General Studies Committee, and asked the Council to establish a committee to review the program. Professor A. L. Coleman of the Political Science Department has been named Chairman. We solicit your views as to the composition and membership of the committee and would appreciate it if you would indicate that either orally or in writing because we would like to get the committee operating sometime in 1980. We look forward to a report sometime in 1980-81.

The third item concerns athletic events during finals week. Several of you have written to the Council about this matter. Some of you indicated, and correctly so, that the issue had come up before and at that time there was an indication from the Athletic Department that they would schedule around the finals week. Unfortunately, their schedules are made many years in advance and apparently this was one of those cases, and we hope this problem will not occur again in the 1980's.

The fourth item, Privilege and Tenure, is action that the Council will be sending to the administration. Some of you know that the Privilege and Tenure Committee had had an unusual number of appeals last year. Many of the appeals evolved around a single item. The chairman of that committee recommended to us a change in the existing procedures. The present non-tenured faculty member whose file has not been forwarded by the Dean of the College cannot ask that his file go to the appropriate Vice President. The Dean ends the consideration and cuts off any review by the Vice President. The administration takes the position there is no requirement that the file be forwarded and the rules would tend to support that position. The Council is proposing to the administration that in the final year of the non-tenured faculty member he may request that his file be forwarded in spite of a negative recommendation by the Dean.

The fifth item concerns Senate Council Membership. I want to announce that Professor Ivey will be returning to the Council in January. The elections are over and Professor Robe, Professor Sears and Professor Rees will be joining the Council in January, 1980.

The Senate Christmas Party is on Tuesday from 4:00 to 6:00 p.m. in the King Alumni House.

The last item has to do with another recommendation of the Senate regarding medical disability which went to the administration last year. I have been told by President Singletary that they will have a proposal sometime next year.

Those are my remarks on the action of the Council and what we have been doing while the Senate has not been meeting."

The Chairman recognized Professor William Wagner for a motion from the Senate Council. Professor Wagner, on behalf of the University Senate Council, recommended approval of the proposed addition to University Senate Rules, Admissions Standards for the Honors Program. This proposal was circulated to members of the University Senate under date of November 28, 1979. The Chair recognized Professor Betts for a presentation on the proposal.

Professor Betts spoke to the Senate as follows:

"As many of you may know the catalog statements on the program up to last year indicated nothing about quality of performance or about admissions standards into the program. Therefore, the Senate last year approved establishing a certain grade point average. Although this information was circulated to the students in the form of brochures, it was never put into the catalog. I suggested to Professor Bryant, in a statement to the Undergraduate Committee, that the composite ACT score be changed from 27 to 26 or better. The 26 is equivalent to an 88 percentile rating. I am not sure how many of you are aware of the size of the program or the number of students annually entering it. For this reason I have put together some statistical information which I will leave that will give you some idea of the performance of the students. In 1978 the number of students entering the University as freshmen with ACT of 26 or better was 524. Of that number 166 entered the Honors Program. Approximately one-third of the students eligible for the Honors Program do enter that program."

There were no questions or discussion and the proposed change passed unanimously and reads as follows:

Background:

Although there is an admissions statement in the 1979-80 University catalog, there is no indication that the Senate approved that standard. The proposed change alters the catalog slightly. The proposed standard has been approved by the Honors Program, the Undergraduate Council, the Senate Committee on Special Teaching Programs and the Senate Council.

Proposed:

To be admitted to the Honors Program, entering freshmen should generally have a high school grade point average of 3.5 or better and a composite ACT score of 26 or better. Students entering the program after the freshman year must have a cumulative university grade point average of 3.0 or better.

Current Statement in the Catalog: 1979-80

In order to be admitted, students generally must have a high school grade point average of 3.5 or better and a composite ACT score of 27 or better.

Note: The proposal will be forwarded to the Rules Committee for codification. Implementation Date: Spring, 1980.

The Chairman recognized Professor William Wagner for a motion from the Senate Council. Professor Wagner, on behalf of the University Senate Council, recommended approval of the proposed change in University Senate Rules, Section VI, 4.6, last paragraph, dealing with communications regarding suspension of students. This proposal was circulated to members of the University Senate under date of November 28, 1979. The Chair said that he wished to testify very solemnly that Ombudsman Pival came into his office in July of this year and said she had inherited the problem of suspension of students from the previous Ombudsman. Everybody was agreed this was the way to handle it, and she proceeded. The Chair said that the proposal had nothing to do with any of the difficulties currently on American campuses with foreign students.

The Chairman recognized Ombudsman Pival for an explanation. Professor Pival's remarks follow:

"In June when I talked with Jane Emanuel about the recurring problems of the Ombudsman's Office, one item she mentioned was a need to set up some kind of machinery to notify the appropriate office of foreign students suspended from the University. I went to Paul Sears and he informed me that it would require a change in the Senate Rules. The rule before you is the result of about three weeks of conferring with various people on campus. Because there is also a need to notify the Community Colleges of suspension, the Vice President of Community Colleges is included, but this latter amendment applies to all University of Kentucky students. There was no intent to persecute any student."

There were no questions or discussion and the proposed change passed unanimously and reads as follows:

Rationale:

There is a special problem involving foreign students suspended or dismissed from the University for academic offenses. As a result of their non-student status, they are no longer entitled to the student visa legitimized by the University of Kentucky.

The Director of International Student Affairs validates such visas, but without immediate knowledge of a suspension or dismissal he/she is unable to take the necessary steps to keep the University in compliance with federal immigration laws.

A second problem involves the Community Colleges and all students who are under academic sanction. According to University Senate Rule 5, 3.1.4 (a) 1:

A student who is under academic suspension may not enroll in any courses offered by the University of Kentucky, nor take any examination for University of Kentucky credit while on academic suspension or probation.

This, of course, includes those offered by Community Colleges. Several times in the last few years, students have lost enrollment money and credits earned because Community College officials were not notified of the students' suspension and students were allowed to enroll. Proper notification could alleviate this problem in the future.

Revision: (additions underlined)

VI, 4.6 Notice of action taken under (a) or (b) must be sent by the President to the student by certified mail, with copies to the instructor, department chairman and dean of the college where the offense occurred, dean of student's college, appropriate academic vice president, Vice President for Community Colleges, Registrar, Academic Ombudsman and chairman of the University Appeals Board. In the case of foreign students, a copy should also be sent to the Director of International Student Affairs.

The proposal was made by the Ombudsman, and approved by the Student Affairs Committee and the Senate Council.

Note: The proposed change will be forwarded to the Rules Committee for codification. Implementation Date: Immediately.

The Chairman recognized Professor William Wagner for a motion from the Senate Council. Professor Wagner, on behalf of the University Senate Council, recommended approval of the proposed addition to the University Senate Rules for a Double Major across College lines. This proposed addition had been circulated to members of the University Senate under date of November 28, 1979.

The Chair recognized Professor Sands for an explanation. Professor Sands made the following remarks:

"The proposal will benefit a relatively small number of students: the good students, the ambitious students, and those who want to combine two areas of study. Some of the areas we have thought about are combinations of English and education, engineering and mathematics, engineering and some of the sciences. Dean Drennon suggested this mechanism, that would require students to fulfill college requirements in only

one of the colleges. The student must declare one major as the principal major, fulfill the departmental and college requirements in the principal major, and fulfill only the departmental requirements for the second major."

The floor was opened for questions and discussion. Professor Jewell asked if this was partly necessitated by the splitting of the Department of Communications from the College of Arts and Sciences where there had been double majors. Dean Sands said that it was but there were other examples such as mathematics and engineering. Dean Langston asked if the teaching credentials were affected in any way. Dean Sands responded that the teaching credentials might be something different. He added that the proposal was talking about the student who wanted a double major. There were no more questions and the proposal passed unanimously. It reads as follows:

Background:

This proposal, approved by the Arts and Sciences Faculty Council, the Undergraduate Council and the Senate Council, permits double majors across college lines. The plan attempts to distinguish between the degree requirements of a program and the more general requirements mandated by a college. The student must designate one of the majors as a principal major, and that is the major whose college requirements must be fulfilled. Only those requirements specifically listed for the second major need be satisfied.

Some of the mechanisms of the double major are left purposely vague. It seems adequate in the statement of the program to stipulate generally that the requirements of each program and of the principal college should be satisfied. Procedures for handling the details can be developed by the colleges.

Proposal:

- 1) A student may earn a single baccalaureate degree with a double major in two different colleges by:
 - a) Designating one of the majors as the principal major.
 - b) Completing the departmental or program requirements for the principal major.
 - c) Completing the degree requirements of the college in which the principal major is located.
 - d) Completing the specific departmental requirements for the second major. These second major requirements shall be delineated by the second department, and approved by the college of the second department, by the Undergraduate Council, and by the University Senate; these requirements may include whatever components of its college degree requirements the department considers essential.
 - e) Completing the University General Studies requirements.

- 2) The primary responsibility for advising a student in a double major program shall rest with the principal major department. An advisor from the second department shall provide assistance where necessary.
- 3)
 - a) The diploma shall indicate the degree from the college of the principal major.
 - b) The transcript shall indicate the degree and both majors.
- 4) Double majors may not be available to all departments. See your college advisor.

Note: The proposal will be forwarded to the Rules Committee for codification.
Implementation Date: Spring, 1980.

The Chairman reminded the Senators of the Christmas party on Tuesday, December 11 at 4:00 p.m.

The meeting adjourned at 3:40 p.m.

Martha M. Ferguson
Recording Secretary

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
LEXINGTON, KENTUCKY 40506

approved

11/28/79

UNIVERSITY SENATE COUNCIL
10 ADMINISTRATION BUILDING

November 28, 1979

TO: Members, University Senate

FROM: University Senate Council

RE: AGENDA ITEM: University Senate Meeting, Monday,
December 10, 1979. Proposed change in University Senate
Rules, Section VI, 4.6, last paragraph, dealing with com-
munications regarding suspension of students.

Rationale:

There is a special problem involving foreign students suspended or dismissed from the University for academic offenses. As a result of their non-student status, they are no longer entitled to the student visa legitimized by the University of Kentucky.

The Director of International Student Affairs validates such visas, but without immediate knowledge of a suspension or dismissal he/she is unable to take the necessary steps to keep the University in compliance with federal immigration laws.

A second problem involves the Community Colleges and all students who are under academic sanction. According to University Senate Rule V, 3.1.4(a) 1:

A student who is under academic suspension may not enroll in any courses offered by the University of Kentucky, nor take any examination for University of Kentucky credit while on academic suspension or probation.

This, of course, includes those offered by Community Colleges. Several times in the last few years, students have lost enrollment money and credits earned because Community College officials were not notified of the students' suspension and students were allowed to enroll. Proper notification could alleviate this problem in the future.

[continued]

Page 2

Senate Agenda Item: Section VI, 4.6, last paragraph
November 28, 1979

Suggested Revision: (proposed additions underlined)

VI, 4.6 Notice of action taken under (a) or (b) must be sent by the President to the student by certified mail, with copies to the instructor, department chairman and dean of the college where the offense occurred, dean of student's college, appropriate academic vice president, Vice President for Community Colleges, Registrar, Academic Ombudsman and chairman of the University Appeals Board. In the case of foreign students, a copy should also be sent to the Director of International Student Affairs.

The proposal was made by the Ombudsman, and approved by the Student Affairs Committee and the Senate Council.

Note: If approved, the Rules Committee will make the appropriate codification effective at the time of passage.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
LEXINGTON, KENTUCKY 40506

UNIVERSITY SENATE COUNCIL
10 ADMINISTRATION BUILDING

November 28, 1979

TO: Members, University Senate

FROM: University Senate Council

RE: AGENDA ITEM: University Senate Meeting, Monday,
December 10, 1979. Proposed addition to University Senate
Rules, admissions standards for the Honors Program, to be
codified by the Rules Committee.

Background:

Although there is an admissions statement in the 1979-80 University catalog, there is no indication that the Senate approved that standard. The proposed change alters the catalog slightly. The proposed standard has been approved by the Honors Program, the Undergraduate Council, the Senate Committee on Special Teaching Programs and the Senate Council

Proposed:

to
to the Honors Program

In order to be admitted, entering freshmen should generally have a high school grade point average of 3.5 or better and a composite ACT score of 26 or better. Students entering the program after the freshman year must have a cumulative university grade point average of 3.0 or better.

Current Statement in the Catalog: 1979-80

~~In order~~ to be admitted, students generally must have a high school grade-point average of 3.5 or better and a composite ACT score of 27 or better.

Note: If approved, the above proposal will be forwarded to the Rules Committee to be codified for inclusion in the University Senate Rules.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
LEXINGTON, KENTUCKY 40506

UNIVERSITY SENATE COUNCIL
10 ADMINISTRATION BUILDING

November 28, 1979

TO: Members, University Senate

FROM: University Senate Council

RE: AGENDA ITEM: University Senate Meeting, Monday,
December 10, 1979. Proposal for a Double Major across
college lines.

Background:

This proposal, approved by the Arts and Sciences Faculty Council, the Undergraduate Council and the Senate Council, permits double majors across college lines. The plan attempts to distinguish between the degree requirements of a program and the more general requirements mandated by a college. The student must designate one of the majors as a principal major, and that is the major whose college requirements must be fulfilled. Only those requirements specifically listed for the second major need be satisfied.

Some of the mechanisms of the double major are left purposely vague. It seems adequate in the statement of the program to stipulate generally that the requirements of each program and of the principal college should be satisfied. Procedures for handling the details can be developed by the colleges.

Proposal for a Double Major Between Colleges:

- 1) A student may earn a single baccalaureate degree with a double major in two different colleges by:
 - a) Designating one of the majors as the principal major.
 - b) Completing the departmental or program requirements for the principal major.
 - c) Completing the degree requirements of the college in which the principal major is located.

[continued]

Page 2

Senate Agenda Item: December 10, 1979 Double Major Proposal
November 28, 1979

- d) Completing the specific departmental requirements for the second major. These second major requirements shall be delineated by the second department, and approved by the college of the second department, by the Undergraduate Council, and by the University Senate; these requirements may include whatever components of its college degree requirements the department considers essential.
 - e) Completing the University General Studies requirements.
- 2) The primary responsibility for advising a student in a double major program shall rest with the principal major department. An advisor from the second department shall provide assistance where necessary.
 - 3)
 - a) The diploma shall indicate the degree from the college of the principal major.
 - b) The transcript shall indicate the degree and both majors.
 - 4) Double majors may not be available to all departments. See your College advisor.

NOTE: If approved, the above proposal will be forwarded to the Rules Committee to be codified for inclusion in the Senate Rules.

/cet