

As for the reason that I do not believe that the charges were proven, the young man stated positively that he did appear in uniform after he was ordered to do so and the Commandant could not state positively that he did not.

On motion the meeting adjourned

Approved May 31-1905.

C. W. Matthews, Secy.

James K. Patterson

May 8-1905.

Prof. Patterson called a meeting of the faculty at the close of chapel exercises. Mr. Creechmore's father of cadet Creechmore appeared before the faculty to express his regret that his son had in any way failed to comply with the regulations, and <sup>also</sup> that he had not been informed earlier of his dereliction of duty that he might personally have given his attention to his son's neglect.

Cadet Creechmore case of discipline.

He hoped that it might be possible to so amend the penalty that his son might not be denied the privilege of final examinations.

Upon motion of Prof. White it was ordered that the action of last Friday, relative to Mr. Creechmore be reconsidered. Carried.

Prof. Miller moved seconded by Lieut. Beutt that Cadet Creechmore be declared guilty of charges

Carried. On motion of Lieut. Beutt it was ordered that portion of penalty relating to exclusion from final examinations be remitted. Carried.

Approved May 31-1905

C. W. Matthews, Secy.