

MINUTES OF THE UNIVERSITY SENATE, DECEMBER 9, 1968

The University Senate met in regular session at 4:00 p.m., Monday, December 9, 1968, in the Court Room of the Law Building. Chairman Sears presided. Members absent: Lyle N. Back, Harry V. Barnard, Harold R. Binkley*, Frederick J. Bollum, Eugene B. Bradley*, Wallace N. Briggs*, Thomas D. Brower, David B. Clark*, Carl B. Cone*, Arthur L. Cooke, Robert L. Cosgriff, George F. Crewe*, M. Ward Crowe, Wendell C. DeMarcus*, Loretta Denman*, John P. Drysdale, W. W. Ecton*, James L. Gibson, Anna M. Gorman*, Ward Griffen, Omer Hamlin*, Robert D. Haun*, A. J. Hiatt, Harris Isbell, Don R. Jacobson, John E. Kennedy, Aimo Kiviniemi, James F. Lafferty*, Harold R. Laswell, Richard S. Levine, James W. Little*, George L. Luster*, M. J. McNamara, L. Mae McPhetridge*, Michael P. McQuillen*, Ray Marshall, James T. Moore*, Blaine F. Parker*, Harold F. Parks*, William R. Proffit, Stephen Puckette*, John T. Reeves, Mary Ellen Rickey, Arthur W. Rudnick, Jr.*, William J. Tisdall*, Duane N. Tweeddale*, D. R. Wekstein*, David C. White, Raymond A. Wilkie, Fred Zechman*, John V. Haley, Lawrence S. Thompson*, Albert D. Albright, William R. Willard, Robert F. Kerley, Glenwood L. Creech, Lewis W. Cochran, Lawrence A. Allen, Leonard V. Packett, Marcia Dake, George W. Denmark, R. M. Drake, Jr., Jack B. Hall, Joseph Hamburg, Ellis F. Hartford, Raymon D. Johnson, William S. Jordan, Jr.*, J. P. Noffsinger*, William A. Seay, John L. Sutton, Joseph V. Swintosky*, Winston E. Miller.

The Senate approved the requests of Dottie Bean of the Kernel and Kerry Powell of the Lexington Herald to attend the meeting and report its proceedings.

The Senate approved the request of Miss Debbie Clark, a student, to attend the meeting.

The minutes of the special meeting of October 21, 1968, were approved as circulated.

The minutes of the regular meeting of November 11, 1968, were approved as circulated.

Professor James Criswell, Chairman of the Rules Committee, presented a recommendation for change in the admission requirements to the College of Law which had been circulated to the faculty under date of November 27, 1968. The Senate approved the change. This change replaces the admission requirements under arabic 1., College of Law, page four of the Rules of the University Senate (An Interim Publication Revised and Updated May 1968), and will become effective for the entering class in August, 1969.

In addition to the general requirements for admission to the University, an applicant for admission to the College of Law must meet the following requirements:

- (1) The applicant must have received a bachelor's degree from an accredited institution or be on a combined-degree program which will entitle him to the bachelor's degree before he completes requirements for the J.D. degree.
- (2) The applicant must have taken the Law School Admission Test.
- (3) The applicant must have achieved a prelaw grade point average (on all college work attempted) and a Law School Admission Test score according to the following criteria:
 - (a) Those applicants having at least a 2.6 average and at least a 500 LSAT score will be admitted.
 - (b) Those applicants not having the combination of grade point average and LSAT score stated above will be considered for admission by the Admissions Committee on the basis of their individual applications and may be admitted at the discretion of the Admissions Committee.

*Absence explained

Professor Criswell presented a recommendation from the Rules Committee that the rules on academic probation, dropping for low scholarship, and readmission on page 10 in the present Rules of the University Senate be changed to become effective immediately. This recommended change was circulated to the faculty of the University under date of November 27, 1968. By a standing vote the Senate approved the recommendation as circulated by the Rules Committee and presented by Dr. Criswell, to become effective immediately. The approved recommended change is as follows:

Scholastic Probation, Academic Suspension,
and Reinstatement

Undergraduate Colleges:

1. General regulations for undergraduate students:

- a. The scholastic probation and academic suspension systems are based on quality point deficit. The base for determining the deficit is the number of quality points which would result from multiplying the number of hours attempted by two. Deficit is the difference, if any, between this base and the number of quality points earned.
- b. Courses which have been completed with a grade of "B" or better shall not be repeated for credit hours or quality points, except for those courses for which repeated registration is provided in the official course description.

A student shall have the option to repeat once as many as three different courses which have been completed with a grade of C, D, or E with only the grade, credit hours, and quality points for the second completion used in computing the student's academic standing and credit for graduation. If a student officially withdraws from the second attempt, then the grade, credit hours, and quality points for the first completion shall constitute the grade in that course for official purposes, and the second attempt shall constitute exhaustion of one of the three options to repeat a course under this provision unless at the time of withdrawal permission to again attempt the same course shall be granted by the instructor and the dean of the college in which the student is enrolled.

2. Scholastic probation:

- a. The academic record of each freshman student will be reviewed after his first full semester, and unless he demonstrates that he cannot or will not do satisfactory work, the dean shall either place the student on scholastic probation or warn the student if the above rule would normally apply. The record will again be reviewed after the first full academic year and the probation rule applied if the student is deficient by more than 5 quality points.
- b. The following undergraduate student shall be placed on scholastic probation by the dean of his college:
 - (1) Except for first semester freshmen, a student who, at the end of any semester or session, has a cumulative deficit in excess of 5 quality points.

- (2) A student who has earned a total of 90 semester hours (senior standing) or more and has a cumulative grade point standing less than 2.0.
3. The following undergraduate student shall be removed from probation by the dean of his college:
 - a. A student on scholastic probation, who has not earned 90 semester hours (senior standing), and who at the end of a semester or session has reduced his cumulative deficit to 5 quality points or less.
 - b. A student on scholastic probation, who has earned 90 semester hours (senior standing), and who at the end of a semester or session has a cumulative grade point standing of 2.0.
4. The following undergraduate student who has not previously been academically suspended shall be subject to academic suspension from the University, but a dean may continue a student on scholastic probation if the individual case justifies it:
 - a. A student who acquires an additional deficit in excess of 5 quality points during any semester or session while on scholastic probation.
 - b. A student who has a cumulative deficit in excess of 15 quality points at the end of any semester or session while on scholastic probation.
 - c. A student who has been on scholastic probation for three consecutive semesters.
 - d. A student who demonstrates that he cannot or will not do satisfactory work.
5. A student who has been academically suspended shall, upon reinstatement, be placed on scholastic probation and be subject to final academic suspension from the University if:
 - a. He acquires any additional deficit during any semester or session while he is on scholastic probation.
 - b. He has not reduced his deficit by 8 quality points or eliminated it by the end of the second semester following his reinstatement.
 - c. He has failed to meet the requirements for removal from scholastic probation by the end of the third semester following his reinstatement.

Once a reinstated student has been removed from scholastic probation, he shall be subject to the same conditions for subsequent academic suspension as a student who has not previously been academically suspended.
6. A student who is under academic suspension may not enroll in any courses offered by the University of Kentucky.
7. After he has remained out of the University for at least a semester and a summer session (a semester for a student academically suspended at the end of a summer session) a student who has been academically suspended may be reinstated by the dean of the college in which he plans to enroll when he presents evidence that he is capable of performing at the level required to prevent being suspended a second time.

8. A student who has been academically suspended a second time shall not be readmitted to the University except in unusual circumstances and then only upon recommendation of the dean of the college in which he plans to enroll and approval of the University Senate Council.
9. A student who is ineligible to enter or re-enter a baccalaureate degree program may be reinstated only for the purpose of enrolling in a terminal associate degree program and shall be declared a "non-degree student" when he presents evidence that he is capable of performing at the level required to complete the program. In no event shall credit be given or transferred for baccalaureate courses taken during such period if the student is later reinstated in the University for the purpose of enrolling in a baccalaureate program.

The Chairman reported that the following three persons had been elected to the Senate Council for three year terms expiring in 1971:

Carl B. Cone
Stephen Diachun
William K. Plucknett

A Libraries Report by Dr. Stuart Forth, Acting Vice President and Director of the Libraries, was made available to each of the Senators.

At this last meeting which Dr. Paul Sears will chair as Chairman of the University Senate Council, he expressed appreciation to the Senators for their enthusiastic participation and willingness to become involved in the functions of the Senate; to the Recording Secretary of the Senate; to the Secretary and Parliamentarian of the Senate. On behalf of the Senate he expressed thanks to the Rules Committee and the Irwin Committee for the fine reports on the issues which had been discussed and approved in this Senate meeting. And lastly, he expressed special thanks to his colleagues on the University Senate Council.

The Senate commended Dr. Sears on the outstanding job he has done as Chairman of the University Senate and gave him a standing ovation as an expression of their high commendation.

The Senate adjourned at 4:45 p.m.

Elbert W. Ockerman
Secretary