

## MINUTES OF THE UNIVERSITY SENATE, OCTOBER 13, 1969

The University Senate met in regular session at 4:00 p.m., Monday, October 13, 1969, in the Court Room of the Law Building. Chairman Ogletree presided. Members absent: Staley F. Adams\*, Charles Auvenshine, Norman F. Billups\*, Ben W. Black\*, H. Martin Blacker\*, Thomas O. Blues\*, Herbert Bruce, Marion A. Carnes, Maurice A. Clay\*, Robert L. Cosgriff, David E. Denton\*, W. G. Duncan, Irving S. Fisher\*, Frederic J. Fleron\*, Stephen M. Gittleson\*, Joseph J. Gruber\*, Holman Hamilton\*, Alfred S. L. Hu\*, Eugene Huff\*, John W. Hutchinson\*, Don R. Jacobson, James D. Kemp\*, Albert D. Kirwan\*, Robert W. Kiser\*, James F. Lafferty\*, Walter G. Langlois\*, Robert Lauderdale, Jr., Melvin J. Lerner, Albert S. Levy\*, Albert J. Lott, Mark M. Luckens\*, Ray Marshall, Gene L. Mason\*, Richard I. Miller\*, Arthur K. Moore, Horace A. Norrell, Richard P. O'Neill, Ronald E. Phillips\*, Curtis Phipps\*, William R. Proffit\*, John L. Ragland\*, John W. Roddick\*, Benjamin F. Rush, Ralph Shabetai, Robert H. Spedding\*, Robert Straus\*, Robert H. Stroup\*, William G. Survant, Carl D. Tatum, John Thraikill\*, Duane N. Tweeddale\*, Harold H. Van Horn, H. Fred Vetter, Harwin L. Voss\*, Gilbert T. Webster, David R. Wekstein\*, Raymond P. White\*, W. W. Winternitz\*, J. D. Wirtschafter, N. W. Bradley, William H. Dennen\*, Vernon L. James, Norman L. Taylor, Otis A. Singletary, George J. Ruschell, Glenwood L. Creech, Lewis W. Cochran\*, Lawrence A. Allen, Charles E. Barnhart, Harry M. Bohannon, Marcia A. Dake\*, Harold D. Gordon, Joseph Hamburg, Ellis F. Hartford, Raymon D. Johnson, Leonard V. Packett\*, Doris M. Seward, Joseph V. Swintosky, Ernest F. Witte\*.

The Senate approved the requests of Bill Matthews, Jeannie Leedom, Robert Brown, and Dick Ware of the Kernel to attend the meeting and report its proceedings.

The Senate approved the requests of Karen Schroder, Frank Shannon, Dick Pozzuto, and Lou Colten of the War Moratorium Committee to attend the meeting.

The Senate approved the requests of Mr. and Mrs. John Simon and Mr. and Mrs. Ralph Brown, students, to attend the meeting.

The Senate approved the request of Nancy Clark of WHAS, WLAP, to attend.

The Senate approved the minutes of the University Senate meeting of September 8, 1969, as circulated.

On behalf of the College of Business and Economics, Professor James L. Gibson read a Resolution on the death of Professor Homer C. Lewis and recommended that the Resolution be made a part of these minutes and that copies be sent to his family. The Senate stood for a moment of silence in tribute to Professor Lewis and in acceptance of the Resolution.

On August 16, 1969, just 10 days before the beginning of what would have been his 22nd year of service to the University, Homer C. Lewis, Associate Professor of Business Administration, died at his home in Georgetown, Kentucky.

Professor Lewis was a native of Whitesburg, Kentucky. He received his undergraduate education at Georgetown College. He took graduate training in business at the University of Michigan and professional training in law at the University of Louisville. He was a member of the American Accounting Association and the Southern Economic Association.

\*Absence explained

## Minutes of the University Senate, October 13, 1969 (Cont'd.)

Professor Lewis served on the faculty of Georgetown College from 1935 to 1942, during which time he attained the rank of Associate Professor. His academic career was interrupted by World War II. From 1942 to 1945 he served his country as a Price Executive in the Office of Price Administration. This assignment required full utilization of his knowledge of law and economic analysis.

Upon completing his tour of governmental duty, Professor Lewis turned to his beloved Georgetown College and chaired the Department of Economics from 1946 to 1948. In 1948, he accepted an appointment to the faculty of the University of Kentucky where he taught and served faithfully until his untimely death.

During the 21 years of his association with the University, Professor Lewis came in contact with a great number of students and colleagues. An impressive list of successful lawyers and accountants received their initial introduction to their fields of study in his courses. His colleagues recall fondly his good humor and his willingness to contribute his time to the affairs of the University.

At the time of his death, Professor Lewis was evaluating a number of alternative revisions in his principal teaching assignment, Business Law, a course required of all juniors in the College of Business and Economics. His colleagues were anticipating a series of meaningful and fruitful discussions with him this year.

Professor Lewis served two communities and two institutions of higher learning. He contributed significantly. His community, his church, his family have lost a genuine person.

Dr. Carl Cone called attention to the lack of respect shown by the student visitors who engaged in conversation during the presentation of the Resolution and requested that this show of disrespect be noted in the minutes of the meeting.

Mr. Raymond Smith, Department of Theatre Arts, requested a waiver of the agenda so that he might present a resolution. Objection was raised which required a two-thirds majority vote. The Senate voted to set aside the agenda and to hear the resolution. Mr. Smith then recommended adoption of the following resolution:

Resolved, that the University of Kentucky Faculty Senate acknowledges the many observances scheduled on campuses across the nation marking October 15th as a day of concern for American Policy in Vietnam. The Senate recognizes the University of Kentucky faculty participation in this observance as being the optional prerogative of each faculty member in keeping with traditional academic privilege.

Professor George Gunther of the School of Architecture read the following letter from the School of Architecture of the University of Kentucky in support of the resolution:

October 9, 1969

Chairman, Faculty Senate  
University of Kentucky  
Lexington, Kentucky

Dear Sir:

We, the Faculty and Staff of the School of Architecture, at a recent meeting, have voted to express to the University of Kentucky Faculty Senate our sympathy and support for the Vietnam War Moratorium to be held on October 15, 1969.

We, the undersigned, wish to express our distaste for and abhorrence of the continuation of a war so devastating to the Vietnamese people, to the American youth, to the academic community and to the fabric of American Society.

We, therefore, respectfully request that this letter be read publicly at the meeting of the Faculty Senate on October 13, 1969. Your cooperation will be appreciated.

Respectfully yours,

/s/ Michael Kennedy

Michael Kennedy, Secretary  
Faculty, School of Architecture

Appendage of signatures:

Paul M. Pinney  
C. R. Carpenter  
Michael Cunningham  
Carl Floyd  
George W. Gunther  
John Strickland  
Stephen C. Deger  
Stuart Robertson  
Hunter M. Adams  
Paul Isaacs  
J. A. Prestridge  
Robert Koester  
Francis J. Scott  
Robert H. Swenson

David Anthony Spaeth  
Philip Hodgkinson  
Lois Langhorst  
Martha Alexander  
Kit Duke  
Charles Graves  
Sue Cassity  
Pam Howard  
Richard S. Levine  
Bonnie Cherry  
Larry McMahan  
William D. Bingham  
Lucy Kittrell  
Michael Kennedy

Vito Girone

Motion was made and seconded to delete the first sentence of the resolution presented by Mr. Smith. The Senate defeated this motion. A Senator requested a show of hands vote in voting on the original resolution. The Senate approved the original resolution as presented by Mr. Smith by a vote of 78 to 43.

Minutes of the University Senate, October 13, 1969 (Cont'd.)

On behalf of the Senate Council, Dr. William Plucknett, Secretary of the University Senate Council, presented a recommendation that in view of the crowded agenda for the Senate this fall if final action on the Report of the University Senate Advisory Committee for Student Affairs is not completed by 5:30, the Senate recess to reconvene at 7:00 p.m. in Room 139 of the Chemistry-Physics Building. The Senate disapproved this recommendation. He then presented a recommendation that if final action was not concluded by 5:30 p.m., the Senate reconvene at 4:00 p.m. one week from today (October 20th) in the Court Room of the Law Building and if final action was not concluded by 5:30 on that date, the Senate recess and reconvene at 7:00 p.m. that evening. An amendment to this recommendation was introduced to reconvene one week from today at 4:00 p.m. and to adjourn at 5:30. The Senate approved this amendment.

On behalf of the Rules Committee, Dr. Plucknett presented the proposed changes in the Rules of the University Senate (An Interim Publication Revised and Updated JULY 1969) which had been circulated to the faculty under date of September 30, 1969, and recommended their approval. Senate action on each of these proposed changes follows.

The Senate approved the addition of the following new Rule to be added as an additional paragraph under "Changes in Courses" on page 17:

- i. If any course has not been given within a four-year period -- in the classroom, by extension or through correspondence -- the Registrar shall notify the Senate Council and the chairman of the department in which such course is listed in University publications of his intention to remove the course from the official University course file. If the department does not believe that the course should be removed, the chairman shall so state in writing to the Senate Council and the Registrar within twenty-one (21) days of the receipt of such notification, together with the department's reasons for its recommendation. If the Senate Council does not concur with the departmental recommendation, or if no statement is furnished within the time provided, the Registrar shall remove the course from the official University course file and University publications.

The Senate tabled the recommended change in the Rules that would add the following new sentence after the first sentence under Courses Taken on a Pass-Fail Basis, page 8 of the Rules:

Students in the Honors Program above the freshman level may, with advance written approval of the Director of the Honors Program, select additional elective courses to be taken on such a pass-fail basis.

The Senate approved a revision in the admission requirements to the College of Pharmacy which is arabic 2. at the top of page 5 of the Rules. This revision had been approved by the Senate Council on July 26, 1968 but had not been extracted and transmitted to the Rules Committee for presentation to the University Senate. This revision reads as follows:

Admission to meeting the general requirements for admission to the University

Minutes of the University Senate, October 13, 1969 (Cont'd.)

In addition to meeting the general requirements for admission to the University, the applicant must have achieved a grade point average of at least 2.0 in at least 66 credit hours of acceptable college work, including: two semesters of general chemistry, with laboratory, including qualitative analysis; two semesters of organic chemistry, with laboratory; two semesters of general physics, with laboratory; two semesters of English composition (or otherwise have met the University English requirement); two semesters of biology, with laboratory; one semester of microbiology, with laboratory; one semester of mathematics (analytics and calculus); one semester of principles of economics.

On behalf of the University Senate Advisory Committee on Student Affairs, Dr. Plucknett presented, by sections, the memorandum from that Committee dated October 13, 1969, which had been made available to the Senators as they entered the Senate meeting. This memorandum contained the following six recommendations for change in the Code of Student Conduct adopted by the Board of Trustees on July 28, 1969:

- I. The deletion of Sections 1.2, 1.21, 1.22, 1.533, 1.534, and 1.62;
- II. the substitution of a new Article III;
- III. changes in Section 1.53 -- The University Appeals Board -- in order to extend its jurisdiction to the rights previously enumerated in the above proposed new ARTICLE III and to give it appellate jurisdiction over the Residence Judicial Boards;
- IV. additional changes as a result of the University Appeals Board's appellate jurisdiction over the Residence Judicial Boards;
- V. additions in Section 1.51 -- The Role of the Office of the Dean of Students -- in order to indicate the procedures to be followed in cases involving alleged violations of student rights; and
- VI. the inclusion in the Rules of the University Senate of The Academic Rights of Students, the Faculty Ombudsman, Academic Offenses and Procedures, Academic Punishments, and Authority of the University Appeals Board in Cases of Student Rights.

Dr. Plucknett then recommended that the Senate recommend to the Board of Trustees, through the President, the deletion of Sections 1.2, 1.21, 1.22, 1.533, 1.534, and 1.62 from ARTICLE I of the Code of Student Conduct. The Senate approved this recommendation.

Dr. Plucknett recommended that the Senate recommend to the Board of Trustees, through the President, a new ARTICLE III for ARTICLE III -- RIGHTS OF THE ACCUSED -- in the Code of Student Conduct and that the Senate consider the proposed new Sections under ARTICLE III, in parts, as he presented them. The Senate approved the proposed new ARTICLE III, Sections 3.1, 3.12, 3.13, 3.14, and 3.15 which are as follows:

#### ARTICLE III - UNIVERSITY RIGHTS OF STUDENTS

Section 3.1 RIGHT OF ADMISSION AND ACCESS

3.12 ADMISSION POLICY

An applicant for admission to the University has the right not to be discriminated against because of race, color, religion, sex, or national origin.

3.13 SCHOLARSHIPS, GRANTS-IN-AID, AND FINANCIAL AID

An applicant for, or a recipient of University financial aid, a University grant-in-aid, or a University scholarship has the right not to be discriminated against because of race, color, religion, or national origin.

In this context, University financial aid, a University grant-in-aid, or a University scholarship are those that the University administers by selecting the recipient and allocating the funds.

3.14 USE OF FACILITIES AND SERVICES

A student has the right to all University student facilities and services on a fair and equitable basis. However, the University may restrict its facilities and services when their use would interfere with normal University operations. The University may also delineate the purpose for which students may use certain facilities.

3.15 DISCRIMINATION IN THE COMMUNITY

A student has the right to expect the University to exert its influence both on campus and in the community to eliminate discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, or national origin.

Dr. Plucknett then presented proposed new Sections 3.2, 3.21, 3.22, 3.23, 3.24, and 3.25 and recommended that these Sections be included in the Code under new ARTICLE III for recommendation to the Board through the President. Following extensive discussion motion was made and seconded to amend the motion to delete Section 3.23 from the Code. After additional discussion motion was made and approved to recess the meeting until 4:00 p.m., Monday, October 20, 1969, when the Senate will reconvene in the Court Room of the Law Building to continue consideration of these Sections, subsequent sections, and the additional recommendations.

The Senate recessed at 5:40 p.m.

The Senate reconvened at 4:00 p.m., Monday, October 20, 1969, in the Court Room of the Law Building. Chairman Ogletree presided. Members absent: Clifford Amyx, Ronald Atwood, Charles Auvenshine, Lyle N. Back\*, Norman F. Billups, H. Martin Blacker\*, Herbert Bruce, Marion A. Carnes\*, Clyde R. Carpenter\*, Robert E. Cazden, Virgil L. Christian, Jr., Maurice A. Clay, Carl B. Cone\*, Robert L. Cosgriff\*, Eugene L. Crawford, Jr., M. Ward Crowe, Charles F. Davis, III, Loretta Denman\*, David E. Denton, R. Lewis Donohew, John P. Drysdale, W. G. Duncan, W. W. Ecton, Frank J. Essene, Joseph B. Fugate, Roy F. Gabbard, Milton E. Gellin\*, James L. Gibson, William A. Gordon\*, Ben R. Gossick, Michael E. Hall, Virgil W. Hays, Eugene Huff, James D. Kemp, John E. Kennedy, Albert D. Kirwan\*, Robert W. Kiser, Donald E. Knapp\*, James F. Lafferty\*, Walter G. Langlois\*, Melvin J. Lerner, Albert S. Levy, Albert J. Lott, Ray Marshall, Marucs T. McEllistrem, Richard I. Miller\*,

\*Absence explained

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY

LEXINGTON, KENTUCKY 40506

DEAN OF ADMISSIONS AND REGISTRAR

ADMISSIONS  
ORIENTATION  
RECORDS  
SCHOOL RELATIONS

MEMORANDUM

To: University Senate

From: Secretary, University Senate

The regular meeting of the University Senate will be held at 4:00 p.m., Monday, October 13, 1969, in the Court Room of the Law Building.

Items on the agenda will include a Senate Council report on the Report of the University Senate Advisory Committee on Student Affairs (the Student Bill of Rights circulated April 24, 1969), and the three proposed changes in the Rules of the University Senate circulated by the Senate Council under date of September 30, 1969.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY

LEXINGTON, KENTUCKY 40506

UNIVERSITY SENATE COUNCIL  
10 ADMINISTRATION BUILDING

September 30, 1969

TO: University Senate  
FROM: Senate Council  
RE: Proposed Rules Changes

The University Senate Council, upon the recommendation of the Rules Committee, circulates for action at the next meeting of the University Senate the following proposed changes in the Rules of the University Senate (An Interim Publication Revised and Updated JULY 1969) as amended:

(1) Page 17, Changes in Courses:

At the end of the provisions, add the following new paragraph to be designated "i":

- Passed*
- i. If any course has not been given within a four-year period -- in the classroom, by extension or through correspondence -- the Registrar shall notify the Senate Council and the chairman of the department in which such course is listed in University publications of his intention to remove the course from the official University course file. If the department does not believe that the course should be removed, the chairman shall so state in writing to the Senate Council and the Registrar within twenty-one (21) days of the receipt of such notification, together with the department's reasons for its recommendation. If the Senate Council does not concur with the departmental recommendation, or if no statement is furnished within the time provided, the Registrar shall remove the course from the official University course file and University publications.

Discussion:

At the suggestion of the Council, the Rules Committee investigated procedures employed at other institutions to overcome the problem of course proliferation and the tendency to retain courses



Proposed Rules Changes continued

which are seldom, if ever, offered. It is believed that the new provision will permit the Registrar to maintain the official course file so that it reflects more accurately the courses actually being offered in the University while providing safeguards to concerned departments from arbitrary action in such instances.

- (2) Page 8, (IV. THE MARKING SYSTEM): Courses Taken on a Pass-Fail Basis:

Undergraduate students above the freshman level and not on academic probation may select a maximum of four elective courses, with certain restrictions, to be taken on a pass-fail basis. Credit hours successfully completed under this option will count toward graduation but will not be used in calculating grade-point standing. Courses taken on a pass-fail basis shall be limited to those considered as elective in the student's program and such other courses or types of courses as might be specifically approved by the Senate for a college or department. Prerequisites for such courses may be ignored at the student's own hazard. The student is expected to participate fully in the course and take all examinations as though he were enrolled on a regular basis. Students may not change from a pass-fail basis nor from a regular basis to a pass-fail basis after the last date for entering an organized class.

CHANGE TO: (Insert new sentence after the first sentence so as to read as follows):

Undergraduate students above the freshman level and not on academic probation may select a maximum of four elective courses, with certain restrictions, to be taken on a pass-fail basis. Students in the Honors Program above the freshman level may, with advance written approval of the Director of the Honors Program, select additional elective courses to be taken on such a pass-fail basis. Credit hours successfully completed under this option will count toward graduation but will

Tabled

No limit on

#.

Proposed Rules Changes continued

not be used in calculating grade-point standing. Courses taken on a pass-fail basis shall be limited to those considered as elective in the student's program and such other courses or types of courses as might be specifically approved by the Senate for a college or department. Prerequisite for such courses may be ignored at the student's own hazard. The student is expected to participate fully in the course and take all examinations as though he were enrolled on a regular basis. Students may not change from a pass-fail basis nor from a regular basis to a pass-fail basis after the last date for entering an organized class.

Discussion:

At the request of the Senate Honors Program Committee, the Rules Committee investigated a proposal of the Student Advisory Committee of the Honors Program to expand the "pass-fail option" for students in the Honors Program. The Committee conducted hearings at which the chairman of the Senate Honors Program Committee, the Director of the Honors Programs and representative students in the Honors Program appeared. The Committee believes that the foregoing change in the Rules, while not incorporating all of the proposals advanced by the student committee, will substantially achieve the benefits sought.

(3) Page 5, (2. College of Pharmacy):

In addition to meeting the general requirements for admission to the University, the applicant must have achieved a grade point average of at least 2.0 in at least 67 credit hours of acceptable college work, including: two semesters of general chemistry, including qualitative analysis; analytical chemistry; two semesters of general physics with laboratory; two semesters of English composition; general botany; general zoology; college algebra; trigonometry; and two semesters of humanities courses. The applicant must demonstrate a minimum proficiency in typing, offer entrance credits in typing, or be concurrently registered in a typing course.

Proposed Rules Changes continuedCHANGE TO: (Reading as follows):

In addition to meeting the general requirements for admission to the University, the applicant must have achieved a grade point average of at least 2.0 in at least 66 credit hours of acceptable college work, including: two semesters of general chemistry, with laboratory, including qualitative analysis; two semesters of organic chemistry, with laboratory; two semesters of general physics, with laboratory; two semesters of English composition (or otherwise have met the University English requirement); two semesters of biology, with laboratory; one semester of microbiology, with laboratory; one semester of mathematics (analytics and calculus); one semester of principles of economics.

Discussion:

This Rule change is proposed in order to bring the current Rules of the University Senate into line with the action of the Senate Council of July 26, 1968.