

The University Senate met in regular session at 3:00 p.m., Monday, November 11, 1974, in the Court Room of the Law Building. Chairman Smith presided.

Since the Senate members did not receive a notice of the meeting, the absent members are not being included as a part of these minutes and all Senators who were not at the meeting will be given an explained absence.

Chairman Smith made the following remarks to the Senate.

You have not received an agenda for today but, hopefully, you received the items to be considered on today's agenda in the mail. If not, we have spare copies. About all I can say is we had some "snafus" in the Senate Council office for which I am ultimately responsible, and you have my apology for not having received these documents in the appropriate amount of time. As a result when we get into the business of the Senate there will be a motion by the Secretary, Senate Council, that we waive the Rules of the University Senate requiring a 10-day circulation for the purpose of addressing two agenda items. Other than that the normal agenda will hold, namely, approval of the minutes, report from the Council by the Chairman, and the two agenda action items I have just mentioned.

I should also report to you that the Kernel reported the agenda for this meeting on its front page today. They state "With the reorganization of the College of Arts and Sciences out of the way, [I would inform you, for the record, that it is not] the University Senate turns to two major student issues at 3:00 p.m. today in the Law School Court Room. [We are meeting in that room.] Confidentiality of student records and special examinations for students are the main topics for debate. The student body is invited to the session." We are subject to the "Sunshine Law" and clearly any and all students are welcome to come as is any citizen of the Commonwealth, or without. I would inform you that so far as I know, there is no agenda item for this meeting or in the immediate future concerning the confidentiality of student records. We do have committees working in that area. There was, at one time, a plan, on the part of the Council, to place before you some changes in the Rules dealing with special examinations but upon further study the Council decided that this activity needed to be examined and perhaps rewritten in an even better form and it has been returned to the Admissions and Academic Standards Committee. So if there is anyone who came intending to hear a discussion of special exams or the confidentiality of student records, please don't feel that you have to wait for it as it will be at least another month and perhaps several more.

The minutes of the meeting of October 14, 1974 were approved as circulated.

Chairman Smith made the following report to the Senate.

We have been informed by standing committees or have received reports from various standing and ad hoc committees that we will have various items of business for December. Notably, we have received a report from the High Committee (this was a report that was requested from that ad hoc body late last spring). They have completed their work. This was the Committee appointed to examine the question of the separation of promotion and tenure, an issue which arose during our discussion of

the Krislov Report last spring. We have that report, the Council will be discussing it, and attempting to determine the precise actions to be brought to this body. We have received a number of recommendations from the Committee on Admissions and Academic Standards and I have been informed by Professor Criswell, Chairman of the Academic Organization and Structure Committee, that they are making substantial progress in the consideration of the proposed A & S reorganizations and we hope that they will be able to complete much of their work and we will have some of it before you in December.

Many of our other committees are active. We are discovering something that perhaps we ought to have anticipated and that is that with the reorganization mandated by the Jewell Committee actions of a year or so ago, much more business is going out to the committees than did in the past. The simple process of getting the committees appointed, getting them together, and getting them operating means that for the first few months in the fall we have relatively little business to bring before the main body of the Senate and then find ourselves in the spring with the need for extra meetings. There is a provision in the Rules of the University Senate and the Governing Regulations for calling extra meetings of the Senate. There is also a provision which says "The Senate shall hold regular meetings on the second Monday of each month during the academic year . . ." but there is no way to call off a regular Senate meeting. The Rules Committee has noted this and is giving some thought to providing an appropriate mechanism and appropriate safeguards which might in the future let us avoid having some of these meetings if we have relatively little business to bring to it.

We have the results of two elections: one completed and one in progress, to report to you. The election of the new members of the Senate Council to take office January 1, 1975 has been completed. I am pleased to report that Professors Tom Ford, Sidney Ulmer, and Judith Worell have been elected to three year terms on the Senate Council and will assume office January 1, 1975. We have received and had certified by the Rules Committee the results of the nominating ballot to fill the faculty position on the Board of Trustees, presently occupied by Dr. Paul Sears. Six individuals have been nominated for that position. They are Professors Tom Brower, George Schwert, Paul Sears, Robert Sedler, Stanford Smith, and James Wells. The first election ballot is being prepared and should be mailed to you late this week. From here on out you will be voting for one candidate at a time and on any election in which one of the candidates receives a majority of the votes cast, that person will be declared elected.

There is at least one item which is a pleasure to report to you and something which I guess a number of us have looked forward to. Once again this year the Senate will be hosting a cocktail party and reception for the Board of Trustees. This will be held on Tuesday, December 10, from 4:00 to 7:00 o'clock in the King Alumni House. We have had considerable success with this in past years by holding it on the same day as the Board of Trustees meets and starting it early enough in the afternoon that there is not a long hiatus between their meeting and the time this event starts. All members of the Senate and their spouses are invited. In the past it has generally been a unique opportunity for the leadership of the University faculty and student body to meet the members of the Board of Trustees and I think there has been a lot of useful exchanges.

We have two items of business to bring before you. One of them is a recommendation to abolish an Institute. The Governing Regulations charge the Senate with advising the President on the establishment, alteration, or abolition of educational units and further defines Institutes as educational units.

The second item is a somewhat more complex one and deals with a change in a number of Rules of the University Senate which are related to changes in the Southern Association's Standard Nine. You should have received circulations on both of these.

Chairman Smith recognized the Secretary of the Senate Council, Professor Roger Eichhorn, who presented a motion to waive the 10-day stipulation in the Rules in order to consider the two action items on the agenda.

The Senate approved the motion.

On behalf of the Senate Council Professor Eichhorn presented a motion that the Senate recommend to the President the abolition of the Social Welfare Research Institute. This proposal had been circulated to the faculty under date of November 7, 1974. Upon question, Chairman Smith explained the reasoning which prompted this recommendation (contained in the above-mentioned circulation dated November 7, 1974) following which the Senate approved the motion to recommend to the President the abolition of the Social Welfare Research Institute.

Chairman Smith again called on Professor Eichhorn to present a motion concerning proposed changes in the Rules to conform with the new "Standard Nine" regulations. Professor Eichhorn called attention to some corrections which needed to be made in the proposal that had been circulated to the faculty under date of November 6, 1974, namely,

that the second paragraph under Section V-5, 2.12 should be corrected (at the request of Dean Royster of the Graduate School) to read:

No credit will be given in the Graduate School or in the professional colleges for courses done by correspondence.

that Section V-6, 2.15 should list the maximum number of hours to be earned by correspondence to be 30 rather than the incorrect 24.

Professor Eichhorn then presented a recommendation that the University Senate approve the Rules changes, in the circulation dated November 6, 1974, and corrected, namely, Section V, 2.12, 2.15, 2.2, and 4.1, for transmittal to the Rules Committee for codification and editorial correction, the changes to be effective immediately.

With reference to the proposed change in Section V-12, 4.1, Professor Weil pointed out that under the proposed change it would be possible for a student to take 30 of the last 36 hours of work for the degree at the University of Kentucky by correspondence without having done any of his work at this University. He then offered an amendment that an additional statement be added to that paragraph to the effect that none of these thirty (30) credits can be taken by correspondence.

A Senator stated that if there was something wrong with the correspondence courses at the University, the Senate should do something about that rather than discriminating against correspondence courses, per se; therefore, he was against the proposed amendment.

Following extensive debate which centered around interpretation of Standard Nine, interpretation of correspondence and extension courses, the quality of correspondence courses, and the manner of determining that quality, the Senate defeated the Weil amendment by a hand count of 72 to 42.

Dr. Sears presented an amendment to the main motion that the second paragraph under 4.1, be amended to read:

For these reasons, for an undergraduate degree, a minimum of thirty (30) non-correspondence credits for the degree must be taken from the University, but not necessarily on the main campus.

Following further debate, Professor Gesund presented a motion to recommit the entire package of proposed Rules changes to the appropriate Committee with the request that it rewrite the proposed change in 4.1 Residence Requirements, to reflect the concerns expressed in discussions from the floor.

The Senate approved this motion.

The Senate adjourned at 4:05 p.m.

Kathryne W. Shelburne
Recording Secretary

Kittig

AGENDA
UNIVERSITY SENATE MEETING
November 11, 1974

- 1) Approve the minutes of October 14, 1974.
- 2) Chairman's Remarks
- 3) Action on the proposal to discontinue the Social Welfare Research Institute to be forwarded to the President and the Board of Trustees. (circulated under date of Nov. 7, 1974)
- 4) Action on changes in the University Senate Rules; Section V, 2.12, 2.15, 2.2, and 4.1. (circulated under date of Nov. 6, 1974)
- 5) ~~Action on proposed change in the Rules of the University Senate re: Attending the University, Section V, 2.13(2) d.~~

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
LEXINGTON, KENTUCKY 40506

UNIVERSITY SENATE COUNCIL
10 ADMINISTRATION BUILDING

November 6, 1974

TO: Members, University Senate

FROM: Senate Council

RE: AGENDA ITEM: University Senate Meeting, Monday,
November 11, 1974. Changes in the Rules of the Uni-
versity Senate, Section V, 2.12, 2.15, 2.2 and 4.1.

Background:

On December 13, 1972, the College Delegate Authority of the Southern Association of Colleges and Schools approved a new "Standard Nine," a series of regulations that require new policies and procedures at each member institution engaged in "special activities" -- essentially those involved in continuing education, extension, and correspondence work. In June, 1973, Vice President Lewis W. Cochran appointed a special ad hoc Committee chaired by Professor David K. Blythe, College of Engineering, to address this University's particular actions and responses as required under the new "Standard Nine" of the Southern Association. That Committee filed its final report in December of 1973. Part A of the Blythe Committee Report recommended a number of actions of an essentially managerial nature such as use of the continuing education unit to account for continuing education activities, the assignment of specific responsibilities to particular administrators in the course of continuing education work, and the like. Part B of the Blythe Committee Report dealt with particular activities associated with what has heretofore been known as extension course work or extension credit. Since this area of the University's activities is in large measure governed by the Rules of the University Senate, the President transmitted the Blythe Report to the Senate Council with a request that the Senate take appropriate action and make appropriate recommendations in light of the actions of the Southern Association and the analysis provided by the Blythe Committee. In March of 1974, the Senate Council established a Joint Task Force with the Undergraduate Council and the Graduate Council to review all necessary changes that might be required in the Rules of the University Senate or of the various colleges and the Graduate School. The Undergraduate Council and the Graduate Council acted early this fall to recommend specific changes in the Rules of the University Senate, which are attached to this document.

Page 2
Senate Agenda Item: Standard Nine
November 6, 1974

Summary:

The total effect of the various rules changes attached is to eliminate any and all distinctions in the Rules of the University Senate and by presumption in other operations of the University, between on-campus or residence course work and off-campus or extension course work. These actions will not eliminate the distinction between either of the foregoing kinds of instruction or educational activity and correspondence course work. Thus, if these rules changes are passed, there will be only three official kinds of course work offered at the University (1) course work taken from the University, (2) correspondence work taken from the University, (3) continuing education work taken from the University.

In considering these rules changes proposed to eliminate distinction between extension work and residence work, it should be recalled that at the present time, under our existing Administrative Regulations, Governing Regulations, Rules of the University Senate and various college and department rules and regulations, all college credit courses offered by the University via extension must be taught by faculty approved in advance by the academic departments offering that course or subject matter on the Lexington campus, and the students admitted to the courses must meet the same standards for admission and retention as those who are physically present on the campus. Further, the existing rules and regulations require that courses taught off-campus shall be of the same academic quality as courses taught on campus, and that courses which require unique resources such as library and laboratory facilities should not be taught off the campus unless these resources can be provided on location.

Motion:

The Senate Council, the Graduate Council, and the Undergraduate Council recommend approval of the attached Rules changes subject to editorial correction by the Rules Committee, effective immediately.

Page 3

Attachment: Senate Agenda Item: Standard Nine
November 6, 1974

no extension work by ins (re)

Section V, 2.12 (page V-5)

Change from:

2.12 Credit for Work Done by Extension and Correspondence:
Not more than thirty-two(32) credit hours required for an undergraduate degree and not more than one-half of the requirements for a major may be gained by extension or correspondence.

Change to:

✓ 2.12 Credit for Work Done by Correspondence:
Not more than thirty (30) credit hours of the total required for an undergraduate degree may be gained by correspondence. No more than one-third of the requirements for a major may be gained by correspondence.

no credit

(Next paragraph remains the same)

Section V, 2.2 (page V-6)

2.2 Student Load
((Delete references to extension courses in lines 3 and 8))

Section V, 2.15 (page V-6)

Change from:

2.15 Other
No more than half of the credit towards an undergraduate degree may be earned by any combination of special examinations, College Board, Advanced Placement Examinations, the College Level Examination Program, extension classes, or correspondence. (The maximum that may be earned by extension and/or correspondence is 32 hours.) (See 2.12, this Section.)

Change to:

✓ 2.15 Other
No more than half of the credit toward an undergraduate degree may be earned by any combination of special examinations, College Board Advanced Placement Examinations, The College Level Examination Program, and correspondence courses. (The maximum that may be earned by correspondence is ~~24~~ hours). (See 2.12, this Section.)

30

Chairman
University Senate Council
Room 10 Administration Building

Stine
Brody
Chang Clayton

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
LEXINGTON, KENTUCKY 40506

UNIVERSITY SENATE COUNCIL
10 ADMINISTRATION BUILDING

November 7, 1974

TO: Members, University Senate

FROM: Senate Council

RE: AGENDA ITEM: University Senate Meeting, November 11, 1974; Concurrence in Presidential Recommendation that the Social Welfare Research Institute be discontinued.

Background:

The Governing Regulations require the President to seek the advice of the University Senate on questions concerning the establishment, alteration, or abolition of academic units. The Governing Regulations further define an "Institute" as an educational unit.

The Board of Trustees officially approved the formation of the Social Welfare Research Institute on December 9, 1969, upon receipt of a recommendation from the President which followed actions during that year leading to the development of the Institute initially based in the Center for Developmental Change. The Institute has been in existence since that time and subject to various changes in Administrative lines of authority and procedures, the Director of the Institute, Professor George Wilbur, currently reports and the Institute is under the authority and direction of the Dean of the Graduate School.

The Social Welfare Research Institute was initially established to address certain interdisciplinary research problems in the area indicated and to optimize utilization of large amounts of external funds which were then available to support research in this particular area. The specific funds which initially supported the Institute are no longer available and the Dean of the Graduate School, after appropriate consultation, has determined that the activities for which the Institute were originally designed can and will be effectively addressed through other mechanisms and that there is no particular reason for the continued existence of the Institute. A recommendation has, therefore, been forwarded to President Single-
tary that the Institute be discontinued.

The University Senate Standing Committee on Academic Organization and Structure has conducted hearings and has investigated all pertinent aspects of the Social Welfare Research Institute. They particularly note that some outstanding research has been done and will continue to be done under the direction of Professor Wilbur, and note that Professor Wilbur

Page 2

Senate Agenda Item: Social Welfare Research Institute
November 7, 1974

holds a professorial appointment elsewhere in the Institution and will continue to be supported in appropriate fashion. After continuing their investigations, they concur in the recommendation presented to the President and recommended to the Senate Council that the University Senate advise the President that they recommend the abolition of the Social Welfare Research Institute.

Motion:

The University Senate Council, on behalf of the Senate Standing Committee on Academic Organization and Structure, recommends that the Senate indicate to the President their concurrence in its proposed action to discontinue the Social Welfare Research Institute effective at such time as final Board action is accomplished.

/cet

ATTENDANCE SHEET
November 11, 1974

134 attended

44

- ✓ S. Sidney Ulmer
- ✓ Elizabeth B. Howard
- ✓ Sam Brown
- ✓ Frank V. Colton
- ✓ Virginia Lane
- ✓ Kathryn Sallee
- ✓ Joseph Kusler
- ✓ Patricia Horridge
- ✓ Barbara Reed
- ✓ G. A. Deacon
- ✓ T. Waldman
- ✓ C. J. Cremer
- ✓ R. K. Kuhn
- ✓ R. O. Evans
- ✓ W. G. Sutton
- ✓ Thomas R. Ford
- ✓ J. W. Gladden
- ✓ A. W. Denmark
- ✓ R. H. Warren
- ✓ **Maryini S. Stewart**
- ✓ Elizabeth R. Crotfelter
- ✓ Harmon L. Voss

- ✓ **Frank J. Pizzo**
- ✓ Roger M. Noce
- ✓ Paul Kim
- ✓ Hans Gesund
- ✓ Bruce H. Wistley
- ✓ J. K. Jones
- ✓ Peter Skelland
- ✓ Robert C. Nible
- ✓ Charles Thacker
- ✓ Larry McKinney
- ✓ Ruth Assell
- ✓ Claudine Gartner
- ✓ Ted Saffridge
- ✓ Jean Charron
- ✓ Anne E. Patterson
- ✓ Thomas H. Ansbrough
- ✓ Holman H. Anstod
- ✓ G. E. Selferspin
- ✓ Herbert Drennon
- ✓ T. Z. C. SAKY
- ✓ Charles Ludwig
- ✓ Burk Tower

- ↓ Sara H. Leach
- ↓ Mary Evelyn Hunter
- ↓ Margaret EW Jones
- ↓ E. Anne Stiene
- ↓ Henry not Senate member
- ↓ Jim Presnell
- ↓ Roy W. Yarbrough
- ↓ Michael A. Clawson
- ↓ E. Gregory McAulley
- ↓ Ronald J. Jey
- ↓ Eugene Huff
- ↓ Jerry M. Baskin
- ↓ Thomas W. Brehm
- ↓ Pam Lewis HRC
- ↓ Michael J. Etzel
- ↓ M. J. Smith
- ↓ Ed Wittich
- ↓ R. M. Longyear
- ↓ Elaine Gables - Educ.
- ↓ Harold R. Binkley

- ↓ Lewis W. Cochran
- ↓ Fry Cox
- ↓ John L. Latham
- ↓ Rebecca Westfield
- ↓ J. P. Druenich
- ↓ Bobby O. Hardin
- ↓ Jan M. Seale
- ↓ Clare Jacobs
- ↓ Arthur A. Seal
- ↓ Betty Jane Sallase
- ↓ Bill Huff
- ↓ Carl Peter
- ↓ Fred Edwards
- ↓ Allan C. Hautt
- ↓ Robert R. Orsod
- ↓ Wape Hughes
- ↓ David Smith
- ↓ George Gunther
- ↓ B. Valentine
- ↓ Jul Hackbart

ATTENDANCE SHEET
November 11, 1974

31

↓ William H. Peters
↓ Dwight Arvenshine
↓ Judith Worell
↓ Harry P. Cole
↓ W.W. Ector
↓ John G. Harris, Jr.
↓ T. R. Robe
↓ O'Neal Weeks
↓ Leonard Tipton
↓ R. Bodman
↓ Kennard Willows
↓ Paul D. Sears
↓ W. F. Wagner
↓ James R. Mardo
↓ Mary Duffey
↓ Z. Govindarajulu
↓ Mildred E. Adair
↓ Geo. E. Mitchell

↓ Arthur Lieber
↓ Alvin Gold
↓ Herbert Bruce
↓ Opal Reynolds
↓ W. C. Lyons
↓ R. L. Nymkoop
↓ Gordon Smith
↓ Jan L. Gordon
↓ Ronda S. Conaway
↓ Greg O. Kendrick
↓ Mary H. Barclay
↓ Gerald D. Ashdown
↓ W. Bruce Combest

ATTENDANCE SHEET
November 11, 1974

20

- ↓ Art Gallagher
- ↓ Harry Sarnoff
- ↓ J. Inman Stevens
- ↓ D.H. Rankin
- ↓ Jakobant
- ↓ J. A. Rea
- ↓ Louis Hunt
- ↓ John Walker
- ↓ Joan Blythe
- ↓ Almond Aruise
- ↓ Jess Weil
- ↓ William J. Kenkel
- ↓ J. Merrie Jansen
- ↓ P. Bosomworth
- ↓ J. G. Barwell
- ↓ Susan M. C. Gray
- ↓ Ronald Dillehay
- ↓ David Peck
- ↓ John L. Butler

↓ Paul Egan