

MINUTES OF THE UNIVERSITY SENATE, NOVEMBER 8, 1976

The University Senate met in regular session at 3:00 p.m., Monday, November 8, 1976, in the Court Room of the Law Building. Chairman Malcolm Jewell presided. Members absent: Gerald G. Ashdown*, Michael A. Baer*, Harry H. Bailey*, Charles E. Barnhart, Joanne Bell*, Robert P. Belin, Norman F. Billups, Harold R. Binkley*, A. Edward Blackhurst*, Jack C. Blanton*, Wilbur C. Blount*, Thomas O. Blues*, Peter P. Bosomworth*, Russell H. Brannon*, Barbara Brandon, C. Frank Buck, Joseph T. Burch*, Donald B. Clapp, D. Kay Clawson*, Glenn B. Collins, Ronda S. Connaway*, Bill Crosby, Donald P. Cross*, Nancy Daly, Guy M. Davenport*, George W. Denmark*, Ronald C. Dillehay*, Anthony Eardley, Fred Edmonds*, Calvin B. Ernst*, James E. Funk*, R. Fletcher Gabbard*, Art Gallaher*, Claudine Gartner*, Joseph J. Gruber*, Merlin Hackbart*, Mark Hall, Joseph Hamburg, Thomas Hansbrough, Beth Hicks*, Raymond R. Hornback, Alfred S. L. Hu, Eugene Huff*, Charles W. Hultman*, Donald W. Ivey*, Raymon D. Johnson, David T. Kao*, Theodore A. Kotchen*, Richard S. Levine, Samuel Lippincott*, William E. Lyons, Donald L. Madden, James R. Marsden, Kenneth M. Martin*, Levis D. McCullers*, Susan A. McEvoy, L. Randolph McGee*, Marion E. McKenna*, Bill Miracle, James T. Moore, Jacqueline A. Noonan*, Terry Norris, Elbert W. Ockerman*, James R. Ogletree*, Edward O'Hara, Leonard V. Packett, David Peck*, William Peters*, Steve Petry, Paul M. Pinney*, Betty Powers*, Anna K. Reed, Daniel R. Reedy*, Stanley R. Saxe*, Rudolph Schriels*, Gerard E. Silberstein*, Otis A. Singletary*, John T. Smith*, J. Truman Stevens*, Emilie Steinhauer*, Harold H. Traurig*, S. Sidney Ulmer, Harwin L. Voss*, John N. Walker*, M. Stanley Wall, John Wanat*, Richard L. Warren*, Kennard W. Wellons, Paul A. Willis, Ralph F. Wiseman*, Fred Zechman.

The minutes of the regular meeting of October 11, 1976, were accepted as circulated with the correction on page 4, fifth paragraph that should read: "A question was raised about why it was necessary to specify that chairmen were not excluded from service on the Board." The word "not" was missing from the original minutes.

The Chairman reported to the Senate as follows:

Since the last meeting when I indicated that we needed a parliamentarian, Bob Bostrom has indicated that he would be willing to serve in that capacity and will be our parliamentarian for the rest of the academic year.

We have nearly completed the process of setting up an ad hoc committee to study the summer school, and that should be underway soon.

We have launched a process which you might be interested in hearing about, because it may have some effect on your departments. The Rules of the Senate provide, as some of you may recall, that

if a course has not been taught in class, by extension or by correspondence within four years, the Registrar shall notify the Senate Council of this by a list of courses that have not been taught for four years. The Council will ask the colleges to justify the continued existence of these courses and if the Council is satisfied that it is reasonable to keep these things going untaught or that they are about to be taught, the course will be continued. The Senate Council has the power to abolish these courses. This procedure was last engaged in in 1971 when I believe not very many courses were eliminated, because the departments so often requested continuation of the courses. We are going to try it again. The Registrar has provided me with a list of 336 courses which are on the list and have not been taught for four years. Occasionally they have been offered and nobody took them. I think the sense of the rule is that they not only have to be offered but have to be taken. We will soon be sending out to colleges a list of these courses. There may be some very good reasons why these courses are being held in limbo. If we find out at the end of this procedure that everybody has such good, persuasive reasons for keeping courses on the books that we end up without having dropped any significant number, then I think we may want to consider the whole procedure; but at least it's worth trying again to see if some of these courses are outdated.

You will be delighted to know that the Senate today will not consider the issue of discrimination in admissions. It was the feeling of the Senate Council that we deserved a month off from this topic, and we will not deal with it until December when we will come back with one last draft.

The Senate Council has, at my suggestion, done a little thinking about the purgation rule. If you have ideas about the rule, you might direct them to the Rules Committee. Basically, I think what we are concerned with is that a number of senators miss a great many sessions of the Senate but very carefully call in their excuse every time which keeps the secretary's office busy handling 40 to 50 telephone calls the second Monday of every month indicating that someone cannot come. If people are doing this extensively, it does not seem to accomplish very much. In any case, we think it's time to take one more look at the purgation rule.

Finally, on a more pleasant note, in accord with a long established tradition, following the final 1976 meeting of the Senate on Monday, December 13 at 5:00 p.m. in the Alumni House, we will have the annual December party of the Senate in which you are invited.

Dr. Jewell recognized Professor Paul Oberst. On behalf of the Senate Council Professor Oberst presented a motion to adopt proposed addition to the Senate Rules (I, 3.21) concerning procedure for review of graduate programs. This was circulated to members of the University Senate under date of October 5, 1976, and reads as follows:

I, 3.21 (c) Review of Programs

It (the Graduate Council) shall review all graduate programs and suggest measures designed to maintain acceptable levels of academic quality. In pursuit of this charge, the Graduate Council may recommend appropriate actions to the Graduate Dean. For the purposes of this section, such recommendations may include (1) suspension of programs for a maximum of two years, (2) lifting of suspensions, and (3) termination of programs in accordance with the procedures specified below.

All recommendations by the Graduate Council and decision by the Graduate Dean relative to suspension of programs, or lifting of suspensions, shall be communicated to the Chairman of the Senate Council. No later than the second year of any program suspension, the Graduate Council shall review the suspension and recommend to the Graduate Dean the reinstatement or termination of the program.

A decision to suspend a program on academic grounds may be appealed by the Director of Graduate Studies in the program. The appeal in all such cases shall be made, including justification, to the Vice President for Academic Affairs for review. The Vice President for Academic Affairs shall appoint a committee of graduate faculty members including a member of the Senate Council to review the case. In making the appointment, he shall consult with the Vice President for the Medical Center for those programs based in departments in the Medical Center. They shall limit their review to the materials submitted and procedures followed by the Graduate Council.

The Vice President for Academic Affairs shall discuss the recommendation of the Appeals Committee with the Graduate Dean and Chairman of the Senate Council (and the Vice President for the Medical Center for those programs based in departments in the Medical Center) and recommend final action to the President.

Any recommendation by the Graduate Council to the Graduate Dean to terminate a program, whether or not previously suspended, shall also be communicated to the Chairman of the Senate Council. If the Graduate Dean approves

a recommendation to terminate a graduate program on academic grounds, he shall submit a recommendation for termination to the Graduate Faculty for review. If the Graduate Faculty concurs, it shall forward its recommendations through the Senate Council to the University Senate, which shall have the final authority for recommending such termination to the President."

Chairman Jewell made the following comments concerning the proposal:

The Senate Council suggested that I review the background of this proposal. There are in the Rules of the Senate and Governing regulations at the present time no clear statements of principle or procedure for suspending or terminating graduate programs, but we are entering a period when graduate programs are subject to review by the University and ultimately by the Council on Public Higher Education. From time to time it may be necessary to stop graduate programs or to suspend them, pending improvement. Suspension or termination of such a program might occur for strictly financial or administrative reasons, and it is not the province of the Senate to take administrative actions. Therefore, this statement is designed to deal with review of graduate programs for academic reasons. The question we faced in trying to solve this problem concerns the need for sorting out the respective responsibilities of the Senate and the graduate faculty, of the Senate Council and the Graduate Council, and the Graduate Dean.

The Senate Council proposed to the Graduate Council that something ought to be done about this. The Graduate Council had recommended to the Graduate Dean some time ago that several graduate programs be suspended. The Senate Council raised questions about the reasons and authority for it, and the duration of suspensions. It was agreed that we should get the question clarified and not have doubts about who could do what and what consequences, implications and appeals or reversals of decisions might be possible. A joint committee of the two bodies was set up and met for sometime. It came up with a report which outlined the problem and possible solution. After I became Chairman in January, I worked on a compromise. Dr. Ulmer, who had chaired that committee, worked on a draft, the Senate Council worked on a draft, the Graduate Council worked on a draft. During the spring we sent drafts back and forth to try to work out some sort of agreement how to handle this. In the fall we worked out the last remaining uncertainties of language.

The draft before you was approved by the Senate Council and the Graduate Council, and was acceptable to the Graduate Dean. There seemed to be no disagreement, in the case of termination of a graduate program, that the termination should be ultimately

approved by the Senate. In the case of suspending a program, there were several areas of uncertainty. One was how long a suspension could last. The Senate Council felt a suspension should not be for more than two years; because, if a program were in limbo and not taking in graduate students for a period of more than two years, it would be very difficult to get it started again. We felt that a suspension that lasted for three or four years was for all practical purposes a termination. The second question was whether a program once suspended could be suspended a second or third time. We felt it should be suspended only once, and then it should be either reactivated or terminated. The Graduate Council agreed with these provisions. Then the question was who should suspend such a program. What we are providing in this document is that the Graduate Council reviews a program and makes a recommendation to the Graduate Dean regarding suspension. The actual suspension is an administrative action taken following a review by the Graduate Council with notice to the Senate Council and with the possibility of appeal. If the Director of the Graduate Program involved feels that for some reason there has not been adequate opportunity for him to be heard or something has happened in the program in the intervening months so that it should not be suspended, he should be able to institute an appeal. We have set up an appeals process, and it is summarized in the third and fourth paragraphs.

We feel this is not an area where there now exists a clear delineation of authority with regard to suspending and terminating programs, particularly suspending them. It is not that we are changing some clearly established patterns--we are trying to establish a pattern which will make sense and minimize the chances of conflict between the faculty, the Graduate faculty, the University Senate, and their respective councils. The Governing Regulations currently provide that the Senate determines the broad academic planning of the University; it approves all new programs; but it has no management or administrative functions. The Graduate faculty has jurisdiction over all programs leading to graduate degrees within limits set by the Governing Regulations of the Senate Rules. It reports to the Senate; it can act through the Graduate Council. The Graduate Council gets its authority, according to the Governing Regulations, from the Dean of the Graduate School, the Graduate faculty and the Senate. The Graduate Dean has authority under the Governing Regulations for planning, guidance, and review of

all graduate instruction. The Senate Rules provide that the Graduate Council shall consider all proposed new graduate programs and in addition shall review all graduate programs. Presumably in this authority is the authority to make recommendations if it is not satisfied with the graduate programs in existence.

It is the feeling of the Senate Council that it would be highly desirable if we could get this compromise adopted without a great many changes in wording because this wording has been worked out so carefully. If the Senate is fundamentally dissatisfied with this language, it ought to be sent back somewhere for another try with perhaps advice and suggestions; but it would be a very difficult thing to rewrite on the floor of the Senate. We also have a very strong feeling that it is important to get something in the Senate Rules that we can use as a guideline in the future. It is more important to get something in that will make sense, is workable, and reflects the responsibility of the various groups involved than to simply go along with the present procedure where nobody knows who has responsibility for doing what.

A Senator asked where the words suspension and termination were defined. Dr. Jewell stated that the words suspension and termination were not defined, but the meaning of suspension was that new students would not be admitted into the program. The meaning of termination was that no new students would be admitted to the program, and the Graduate program would cease to operate.

A Senator asked if the program were reactivated would it have to go to the Council on Public Higher Education.

Professor Smith remarked that according to the Senate Rules that if the program no longer existed, that degree could be terminated. If one wanted to put it in ten years later, it would be a new program.

Dr. Lienhard made a motion that the Senate authorize the Council that if the proposal were passed, to add footnotes explaining suspension and termination.

Dr. Jewell stated that on behalf of the Council the amendment could be accepted. He suggested that perhaps the appropriate place to put it would be in the "Glossary of Terms" in the back of the Senate Rules.

Dr. Weil asked whether, if a program were terminated, the faculty member involved would lose his membership in the Graduate Faculty.

Dr. Royster replied that it would depend on whether there was a master's program. The doctor's program can be terminated without terminating the master's program; therefore, there would still be graduate courses taught

in the program.

Dean Stewart suggested that the Graduate Council develop a list of criteria that would be available so that Deans could look at their own units and review them internally.

There were no further comments or amendments, and the Senate voted to approve the proposal.

Dr. Jewell recognized Professor Paul Oberst. On behalf of the Senate Council Professor Oberst presented a motion to adopt proposed addition to the Senate Rules (V, 3.3) establishing procedures for scholastic probation, academic suspension, and reinstatement in the Graduate School. This was circulated to members of the University Senate under date of October 25, 1976, and read as follows:

"When graduate students have completed 12 or more semester hours of graduate course work with an average of less than 3.0, they will be placed on academic probation.

A student will have one semester to remove the scholastic probation by attaining a cumulative 3.0 average in graduate course work. If the probation is not removed, the student will be dismissed from the Graduate School.

A student who has been dismissed from Graduate School for these reasons may reapply for admission to Graduate School after two semesters or one semester and the eight week summer term.

Exceptions to this policy can be made only by the Graduate Dean."

The Senate Council recommends that it take effect for students starting work on a graduate degree in the Spring 1977 semester and thereafter.

Dr. Jewell made the following remarks concerning the proposal:

This is the proposal that you may recall was adopted by the Graduate faculty last May and submitted to the Senate. There have been a couple of very minor changes in wording and one substantive change which occurred since that time. The substantive change involves the question of what kinds of courses shall be used in calculating a grade point average. The draft as it is before you refers to graduate courses. Courses which carry graduate credit are to be used in making this calculation and in determining whether a student can get off probation by bringing his grade point average up. The Committee on Admissions and Academic Standards was concerned about the fact that the Graduate School

currently does not calculate grade point averages for graduate courses alone, but for all courses and recommended dropping the word graduate course in that first paragraph. The Rules of the Graduate School now provide that in order to graduate a student must have a "B" average in all of his courses and also in all courses that carry graduate credit. The Council has determined the Registrar's office can compute the grade point average for graduate courses only.

A Senator asked for clarification of graduate courses. Dr. Jewell replied that graduate course work would be work that carries graduate credit 500, 600, 700 level and 400 courses for work taken outside the student's major.

A Senator asked a question dealing with the third paragraph. What would happen if a student had been dismissed and reapplied, who decides his readmission? Dean Royster stated that the readmission procedure would be the same as the admissions procedure. It would go to the Graduate program involved.

Dr. Weil asked if being dismissed from Graduate School also meant being dismissed from being a graduate student. Dean Royster applied in the affirmative.

There was no further discussion and the motion carried.

The final item on the agenda concerning scholastic probation, academic suspension and reinstatement in the College of Law did not have the required ten-day circulation.

Motion was made to suspend the ten-day circulation rule to take up the proposal, and the motion passed.

Dr. Jewell recognized Professor Paul Oberst. On behalf of the Senate Council Professor Oberst presented a motion to adopt proposed revision of the Senate Rules (V, 3.21) concerning scholastic probation, academic suspension, and reinstatement of the College of Law. This was circulated to members of the University Senate under date of October 25, 1976, and reads as follows:

V, 3.21

- b. For Students Who Enter the College of Law in the Fall Term of 1976 or Thereafter
 1. Academic Requirements and Exclusion for Poor Scholarship
All students in the College of Law must maintain a satisfactory cumulative grade-point average, and failure to do so will result in the student being dropped from the College for poor scholarship. Any student who receives a grade-point average below 1.0 for his or her first semester of law

study may be dropped by the Dean on recommendation of the Law Faculty Academic Status Committee for poor scholarship. Any student who fails to achieve a 2.0 cumulative grade-point average at the end of the first two semesters will automatically be dropped for poor scholarship. In addition, any student whose cumulative average falls below 2.0 at the end of any subsequent semester will also be dropped from the College.

Any student who receives a grade of E in a required course must reregister for the course and complete all requirements therefor. When a course is retaken for credit, both the initial and subsequent grade will be reflected on the student's record and counted in the computation of class standing, subject to part 2(b) below.

2. Readmission

- a. Any student dropped for poor scholarship may petition the Law Faculty Academic Status Committee for readmission. A recommendation to the Dean for readmission is within the discretion of the Committee; however, in most cases, the following policies will guide the Committee: a student dropped after the first semester will be required to petition the full Faculty for readmission; in the case of students dropped at the end of the second semester, a student with a cumulative grade average of 1.9 and above will normally be readmitted, a student with a cumulative average of 1.7 to 1.89 may be readmitted but will be carefully scrutinized, and a student with a cumulative average below 1.7 will normally not be readmitted; any student dropped at the end of the third semester or thereafter will be subject to case-by-case analysis.
- b. Any student readmitted after being dropped at the end of the second semester must make material progress toward raising his or her cumulative grade-point average to 2.0. Such student must raise his or her cumulative average to 2.0 by the end of the fourth semester. In addition to the foregoing academic standards for readmission, the Committee may impose additional academic standards in individual cases, and in any case may impose other reasonable conditions of readmission including, but not limited to, the repetition of courses, limitation of outside work, specification of schedule of study (including specification of particular courses and limitation of hours), and the limitation of extracurricular activities. Failure to comply with the requirements and conditions of readmission will result in the student being dropped from the College a second time in which case he or she will not

be readmitted without approval of the University Senate Council upon the recommendation of the Dean following action by the full Law Faculty. Any student aggrieved at any time by recommendation of the Academic Status Committee may petition the full Law Faculty for review.

- c. For purposes of the above rules, a student who is required by the Academic Status Committee to repeat fourteen (14) or more hours of the freshman curriculum in his or her third and fourth semester will be considered as enrolled in his or her first and second semesters.
- d. A student who has once been dropped for poor scholarship and who fails to have a 2.0 cumulative average at the end of the semester or summer session in which he or she completes his 87th hour of course work will not be allowed to graduate from the College of Law. Such student will not be allowed to enroll in additional hours of course work in an attempt to achieve a 2.0 cumulative average.

Dr. Jewell stated that the Senate needed to make a decision about when the proposal would take effect if adopted. The Law School recommended that it be in the Fall of 1976. The Committee and the Council recommended it be the Spring of 1977.

Amendment was made and seconded that the rule would take effect for students entering in the Fall of 1976 and thereafter. The amendment passed.

After further discussion, the proposal was approved as amended.

The Senate adjourned at 4:00 p.m.

Martha M. Ferguson
Recording Secretary

UNIVERSITY CALENDAR

1980

1980 Eight-Week Summer Session

- April 1 Tuesday - Deadline for applying for admission or readmission to any 1980 summer term for all categories of undergraduate applicants wishing to be included in April Advising Conferences
- June 1 Sunday - Deadline for applying for admission or readmission to 1980 Fall Semester for all categories of undergraduate applicants wishing to be included in the Summer Advising Conferences
- June 11 Wednesday - Registration
- June 12 Thursday - Class work begins
- June 16 Monday - Last day to change from audit to credit
- June 16 Monday - Last day to enter an organized class for the 1980 Eight-Week Summer Session
- June 23 Monday - Last day to change from pass-fail to credit or from credit to pass-fail
- June 23 Monday - Last day to change from credit to audit
- June 23 Monday - Last day to drop a course without a grade
- June 25 Wednesday - Last day to pay registration fees in order to avoid cancellation of registration
- June 26 Thursday - Last day for filing an application for an August degree in College Dean's Office
- July - Summer Advising Conference for new freshmen, Community College transfers, advanced standing (transfer) students, auditors, non-degree, and readmission students enrolling in the 1980 Fall Semester
- July 4 Friday - Independence Day - Academic Holiday
- July 11 Friday - Last day to withdraw from the University and receive any refund
- July 11 Friday - Last day to pay thesis/dissertation fees for an August degree in Billings and Collections Office
- July 24 Thursday - Last day to withdraw from a class before finals
- August 7 Thursday - End of Eight-Week Summer Session
- August 7 Thursday - Final Examinations
- August 11 Monday - All grades due in Registrar's Office by 9:00 a.m.
- August 23 Saturday - End of 15-Week College of Pharmacy Summer Semester

SUMMARY OF TEACHING DAYS, 1980 EIGHT-WEEK SUMMER SESSION

	<u>Mon.</u>	<u>Tues.</u>	<u>Wed.</u>	<u>Thurs.</u>	<u>Fri.</u>	<u>Sat.</u>	<u>Teaching Days</u>
June	3	2	2	3	3	3	June 16
July	4	5	5	5	3	4	July 26
August	1	1	1	1	1	1	August 6
Totals	8	8	8	9	7	8	48

The University Calendar for the academic year 1979-80 has been approved by the Senate Council, circulated to the faculty, and is hereby made a record in these minutes.

UNIVERSITY CALENDAR
1979 Fall Semester

1979

June 1	Friday - Deadline for applying for admission or readmission to 1979 Fall Semester for all categories of undergraduate applicants wishing to be included in Summer Advising Conferences
August 27, 28	Monday and Tuesday - Registration for non-advance registered students, and drop-add
August 29	Wednesday - Class work begins
September 3	Monday - Labor Day - Academic Holiday
September 5	Wednesday - Last day to change from audit to credit
September 5	Wednesday - Last day to enter an organized class for the Fall Semester
September 10	Monday - Last day to change from pass-fail to credit or from credit to pass-fail
September 10	Monday - Last day to drop a course without a grade
September 10	Monday - Last day to change from credit to audit
September 27	Thursday - Last day for filing an application for a December degree in College Dean's Office
September 28	Friday - Last day for payment of registration fees in order to avoid cancellation of registration
October 15	Monday - Deadline for applying for admission or readmission to 1980 Spring Semester for all categories of undergraduate applicants wishing to be included in the Spring Advising Conferences
October 22	Monday - Last day to withdraw from the University and receive any refund
October 29	Monday - Last day to pay thesis/dissertation fees for a December degree in Billings and Collections Office
November 6	Tuesday - Last day to withdraw from a class before final examinations
November 8	Thursday - 1980 Spring Advising Conference for new freshmen
November 9	Friday - 1980 Spring Advising Conference for new advanced standing (transfer) students, Community College transfer students, and readmission and non-degree students
November 12-21	Monday through Wednesday - Advance registration for 1980 Spring Semester
November 22-24	Thursday through Saturday - Thanksgiving Holidays - Academic Holidays
December 13	Thursday - End of class work
December 15-20	Saturday through Thursday - Final examinations
December 20	Thursday - End of 1979 Fall Semester
December 24	Monday - All grades due in Registrar's Office by 9:00 a.m.

SUMMARY OF TEACHING DAYS, FALL SEMESTER 1979

	<u>Mon.</u>	<u>Tues.</u>	<u>Wed.</u>	<u>Thurs.</u>	<u>Fri.</u>	<u>Sat.</u>	<u>Teaching Days</u>
August			1	1	1		August 3
September	3	4	4	4	4	5	September 24
October	5	5	5	4	4	4	October 27
November	4	4	4	4	4	3	November 23
December	2	2	2	2	1	2	December 11
Totals	14	15	16	15	14	14	88

UNIVERSITY CALENDAR

19801980 Spring Semester

January 14, 15	Monday and Tuesday - Registration for non-advance registered students, and drop-add
January 16	Wednesday - Class work begins
January 22	Tuesday - Last day to change from audit to credit
January 22	Tuesday - Last day to enter an organized class for Spring Semester
January 28	Monday - Last day to change from pass-fail to credit or from credit to pass-fail
January 28	Monday - Last day to change from credit to audit
January 28	Monday - Last day to drop a course without a grade
February 14	Thursday - Last day for filing application for a May degree in College Dean's Office
February 14	Thursday - Last day for payment of registration fees in order to avoid cancellation of registration
March 7	Friday - Last day to withdraw from University and receive any refund
March 14	Friday - Last day to pay thesis/dissertation fees for May degree in Billings and Collections Office
March 17-22	Monday through Saturday - Spring Vacation - Academic Holidays
March 31	Monday - Last day to withdraw from a class before finals
April 1	Tuesday - Deadline for applying for admission or readmission to any 1980 summer term for all categories of undergraduate applicants wishing to be included in the April Advising Conferences
April 10	Thursday - 1980 Summer Advising Conference for new freshmen
April 11	Friday - 1980 Summer Advising Conference for new advanced standing (transfer) students, Community College transfer students, and readmission and non-degree students
April 14-23	Monday through Wednesday - Advance registration for 1980 Fall Semester and both Summer Sessions
May 2	Friday - End of Class work
May 5- 9	Monday through Friday - Final Examinations
May 9	Friday - End of 1980 Spring Semester
May 10	Saturday - Commencement Day
May 12	Monday - All grades due in Registrar's Office by 4:00 p.m.
May 12-August 23	College of Pharmacy 15-Week Summer Semester
June 1	Sunday - Deadline for applying for admission or readmission to 1980 Fall Semester for all categories of undergraduate applicants wishing to be included in Summer Advising Conferences

SUMMARY OF TEACHING DAYS, SPRING SEMESTER 1980

	<u>Mon.</u>	<u>Tues.</u>	<u>Wed.</u>	<u>Thurs.</u>	<u>Fri.</u>	<u>Sat.</u>	<u>Teaching Days</u>
January	2	2	3	3	2	2	January 14
February	4	4	4	4	5	4	February 25
March	4	3	3	3	3	4	March 20
April	4	5	5	4	4	4	April 26
May				1	1		May 2
Totals	<u>14</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>87</u>

UNIVERSITY CALENDAR

19801980 Fall Semester

August 25, 26 Monday and Tuesday - Registration for non-advance registered students and drop-add
 August 27 Wednesday - Classwork begins
 September 1 Monday - Labor Day - Academic Holiday
 November 4 Tuesday - Presidential Election Day - Academic Holiday
 November 27-29 Thursday through Saturday - Thanksgiving Holidays - Academic Holidays
 December 12 Friday - Class work ends (88 teaching days)
 December 15-19 Monday through Friday - Final examinations
 December 19 Friday - End of 1980 Fall Semester

19811981 Spring Semester

January 12, 13 Monday and Tuesday - Registration for non-advance registered students and drop-add
 January 14 Wednesday - Classwork begins
 March 16-21 Monday through Saturday - Spring Vacation - Academic Holidays
 May 1 Friday - End of class work
 May 4-8 Monday through Friday - Final Examinations
 May 8 Friday - End of 1981 Spring Semester
 May 9 Saturday - Commencement Day

COLLEGE OF DENTISTRY CALENDAR
1979-1980 Academic Year

1979

August 27-29	Monday through Wednesday - Orientation for incoming students
August 28, 29	Tuesday & Wednesday - Orientation for continuing students
August 30	Thursday - Class work begins for all students
September 3	Monday - Labor Day Holiday
October 4, 5	Thursday & Friday - Faculty Retreat - no classes
November 22-24	Thursday through Saturday - Thanksgiving Holidays
December 21	Friday - Winter Vacation begins after last class

1980

January 14	Monday - Class work resumes for all students
February 22-25	Friday through Monday - Long weekend - no classes
April 7-12	Monday through Saturday - Spring vacation
May 9	Friday - Oral evaluation by graduating students - No classes for those students
May 10	Saturday - University Commencement and College of Dentistry Graduation Program
May 26	Monday - Memorial Day Holiday
May 30	Friday - End of academic year for continuing students

PROPOSED
 UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 COLLEGE OF LAW
 CALENDAR

1979 Fall Semester

August 27, 28	Monday & Tuesday - Registration
August 29	Wednesday - Class work begins
September 3	Monday - Labor Day - Academic Holiday
September 5	Wednesday - Last day to enter an organized class for the Fall Semester
September 10	Monday - Last day to drop a course without a grade
September 27	Thursday - Last day to file an application for a December degree
October 22	Monday - Last day to withdraw from the University and receive any refund
November 6	Tuesday - Last day to withdraw from a class before finals
November 12-21	Monday through Wednesday - Advance Registration for the 1980 Spring Semester
November 22-24	Thursday through Saturday - Thanksgiving Holidays - Academic Holidays
December 1	Saturday - Deadline for submission of application and receipt of all materials for admission, readmission or transfer into Spring Semester, 1980
December 5	Wednesday - End of class work
December 6-9	Thursday through Sunday - Law Examination Reading Period
December 10-21	Monday through Friday - Law Final Examination Period
December 21	Friday - End of Fall Semester

1980 Spring Semester

January 14, 15	Monday & Tuesday - Registration
January 16	Wednesday - Class work begins
January 22	Tuesday - Last day to enter an organized class for the Spring Semester
January 28	Monday - Last day to drop a course without a grade
February 14	Thursday - Last day to file an application for a May degree
March 1	Saturday - Last day for submission of application for admission for Fall Semester, 1980
March 7	Friday - Last day to withdraw from University and receive any refund
March 17-22	Monday through Saturday - Spring Vacation - Academic Holidays
March 31	Monday - Last day to withdraw from a class before finals
April 14-23	Monday through Wednesday - Advance Registration for 1980 Fall Semester
April 25	Friday - End of class work
April 26-29	Saturday through Tuesday - Law Examination Reading Period
April 30-May 10	Wednesday through Saturday - Law Final Examination Period
May 10	Saturday - End of Spring Semester
May 10	Saturday - 113th Annual Commencement

1980 Summer Session

June 11 - Wednesday - Registration
 June 12 - Thursday - Class work begins
 June 16 - Monday - Last day to enter an organized class for
 the Summer Session
 June 23 - Monday - Last day to drop a course without a grade
 June 26 - Thursday - Last day to file an application for an
 August degree
 July 1 - Tuesday - Deadline for application and submission of all
 materials for transfer from another law school into
 Fall Semester, 1980
 July 4 - Friday - Independence Day - Academic Holiday
 July 11 - Friday - Last day to withdraw from the University and
 receive any refund
 July 24 - Thursday - Last day to withdraw from a class before finals
 August 1 - Friday - End of class work
 August 2,3 - Saturday & Sunday - Law Examination Reading Period
 August 4-7 - Monday through Thursday - Law Final Examination Period
 August 7 - Thursday - End of Summer Session

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY

COLLEGE OF MEDICINE

1979-801979

August 6 - Monday - Classes begin for Third and Fourth-Year Students
 August 20 - Monday - Classes begin for First and Second-Year Students
 September 3 - Monday - Labor Day Holiday
 November 22-24 - Thursday through Saturday - Thanksgiving Holiday
 December 14 - Saturday - Winter Vacation begins for First and Second-Year Students
 December 22 - Saturday - Winter Vacation begins for Third and Fourth-Year Students

1980

January 2 - Wednesday - All Classes resume
 March 10-15 - Monday - Saturday - Spring Vacation
 May 10 - Saturday - End of Academic Year for First and Second-Year Students
 May 22 - Thursday - End of Academic Year for Fourth-Year Students
 May 24 - Saturday - Commencement for Class of 1980, End of Academic Year for
 Third-Year Students

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
LEXINGTON, KENTUCKY 40506

11/8/76

UNIVERSITY SENATE COUNCIL
10 ADMINISTRATION BUILDING


October 21, 1976

TO THE UNIVERSITY SENATE:

The University Senate will meet in regular session at 3:00 p.m. Monday, November 8, 1976, in the Court Room of the Law Building.

AGENDA:

- 1) Approval of minutes of meeting of October 11, 1976.
- 2) Information Items:
 - a) Summary of Senate Council Activities.
- 3) Action Items:
 - a) Proposed addition to the Senate Rules (I, 3.21) concerning procedure for review of graduate programs (circulated under date of October 5, 1976).
 - b) Proposed addition to the Senate Rules (V, 3.3) establishing procedures for scholastic probation, academic suspension, and reinstatement in the graduate school. (to be circulated)
 - c) Proposed revision in the Senate Rules (V, 3.21) concerning scholastic probation, academic suspension and reinstatement in the College of Law. (to be circulated)


Elbert W. Ockerman
Secretary, University Senate

Note: If you are unable to attend this meeting, please contact Martha Ferguson, 7-2958.